



**CREIGHTON UNIVERSITY**

Consolidated Financial Statements

June 30, 2013 and 2012

and

Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards

June 30, 2013

(With Independent Auditors' Report Thereon)

# CREIGHTON UNIVERSITY

## Table of Contents

	<b>Page(s)</b>
Independent Auditors' Report	1 – 2
Consolidated Financial Statements:	
Consolidated Statements of Financial Position	3
Consolidated Statements of Activities	4 – 5
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows	6
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	7 – 37
<b>Single Audit Information</b>	
Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	38 – 40
Notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	41 – 42
Independent Auditors' Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with <i>Government Auditing Standards</i>	43 – 44
Independent Auditors' Report on Compliance for Each Major Federal Program; Report on Internal Control over Compliance; and Report on Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards Required by OMB Circular A-133, <i>Audits on States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations</i>	45 – 47
Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs	48 – 49



**KPMG LLP**  
Suite 1501  
222 South 15th Street  
Omaha, NE 68102-1610

Suite 1600  
233 South 13th Street  
Lincoln, NE 68508-2041

## **Independent Auditors' Report**

The Board of Trustees  
Creighton University:

### **Report on the Financial Statements**

We have audited the accompanying consolidated financial statements of Creighton University (the University), which comprise the statements of financial position as of June 30, 2013 and 2012, and the related statements of activities and cash flows for the years then ended, and the related notes to the consolidated financial statements.

### ***Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements***

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these consolidated financial statements in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of consolidated financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

### ***Auditors' Responsibility***

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these consolidated financial statements based on our audits. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the consolidated financial statements are free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the consolidated financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditors' judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the consolidated financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditors consider internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the consolidated financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the consolidated financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinion.



***Opinion***

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Creighton University as of June 30, 2013 and 2012, and the changes in its net assets and its cash flows for the years then ended, in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

**Other Reporting Required by *Government Auditing Standards***

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our report dated October 25, 2013 on our consideration of the University's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the University's internal control over financial reporting and compliance.

**KPMG LLP**

Omaha, Nebraska  
October 25, 2013

# CREIGHTON UNIVERSITY

## Consolidated Statements of Financial Position

June 30, 2013 and 2012

(All amounts in thousands)

<b>Assets</b>	<b>2013</b>	<b>2012</b>
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 58,601	32,112
Restricted cash and cash equivalents	13,900	—
Accounts receivable, net (note 3)	30,914	29,308
Contributions receivable, net (note 7)	9,803	10,139
Student loans receivable, net of reserve for doubtful accounts of \$603 and \$410 in 2013 and 2012, respectively	35,618	36,719
Prepaid expenses, inventories, and other assets	5,244	6,044
Investments (notes 4, 5, and 12)	444,582	402,509
Land, buildings, and equipment, net (note 6)	386,889	382,522
Total assets	<u>\$ 985,551</u>	<u>899,353</u>
<b>Liabilities and Net Assets</b>		
Liabilities:		
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	\$ 58,003	55,417
Deferred income	15,793	13,868
Other liabilities	55,701	63,525
Tax refunds payable to medical residents and affiliates	13,900	—
Refundable government student loan funds	30,002	29,954
Bonds and notes payable (note 8)	163,478	172,447
Total liabilities	<u>336,877</u>	<u>335,211</u>
Commitments and contingencies (note 15)		
Net assets (notes 2 and 5):		
Unrestricted	275,530	228,573
Temporarily restricted	175,128	148,129
Permanently restricted	198,016	187,440
Total net assets	<u>648,674</u>	<u>564,142</u>
Total liabilities and net assets	<u>\$ 985,551</u>	<u>899,353</u>

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

**CREIGHTON UNIVERSITY**  
Consolidated Statement of Activities  
Year ended June 30, 2013  
(All amounts in thousands)

	<u>Unrestricted</u>	<u>Temporarily restricted</u>	<u>Permanently restricted</u>	<u>Total</u>
Net operating revenues:				
Tuition and fees	\$ 262,185	—	—	262,185
Tuition discount and scholarship allowances	(71,890)	—	—	(71,890)
Net tuition and fees	190,295	—	—	190,295
Healthcare services revenue	133,101	—	—	133,101
Healthcare services revenue deductions	(17,812)	—	—	(17,812)
Net healthcare services revenue	115,289	—	—	115,289
Grants and contracts	26,975	—	—	26,975
Contributions	11,916	—	—	11,916
Investment income appropriated for operations	14,652	—	—	14,652
Auxiliary enterprises	31,679	—	—	31,679
Other revenues	11,895	—	—	11,895
Net assets released from restrictions (note 2)	5,194	—	—	5,194
Total net operating revenues	407,895	—	—	407,895
Operating expenses:				
Salaries, wages, and benefits	255,071	—	—	255,071
Contracted services	43,905	—	—	43,905
Supplies and materials	21,046	—	—	21,046
Depreciation and amortization	22,216	—	—	22,216
Interest expense	7,709	—	—	7,709
Utilities and communications	10,816	—	—	10,816
Other operating expenses	33,055	—	—	33,055
Total operating expenses	393,818	—	—	393,818
Changes in net assets from operating activities	14,077	—	—	14,077
Nonoperating changes in net assets:				
Investment return in excess of amounts appropriated for operations	11,728	22,065	640	34,433
Equity earnings in minority-owned affiliates	1,089	—	—	1,089
Change in fair value of interest rate swaps	11,557	—	—	11,557
Contributions for nonoperating purposes	513	18,655	10,367	29,535
Other changes in net assets	—	(543)	(422)	(965)
Net assets released from restrictions (note 2)	7,993	(13,178)	(9)	(5,194)
Net nonoperating changes in net assets	32,880	26,999	10,576	70,455
Increase in net assets	46,957	26,999	10,576	84,532
Net assets, beginning of year	228,573	148,129	187,440	564,142
Net assets, end of year	\$ 275,530	175,128	198,016	648,674

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

**CREIGHTON UNIVERSITY**  
Consolidated Statement of Activities  
Year ended June 30, 2012  
(All amounts in thousands)

	<u>Unrestricted</u>	<u>Temporarily restricted</u>	<u>Permanently restricted</u>	<u>Total</u>
Net operating revenues:				
Tuition and fees	\$ 251,345	—	—	251,345
Tuition discount and scholarship allowances	(68,175)	—	—	(68,175)
Net tuition and fees	183,170	—	—	183,170
Healthcare services revenue	210,295	—	—	210,295
Healthcare services revenue deductions	(79,675)	—	—	(79,675)
Net healthcare services revenue	130,620	—	—	130,620
Grants and contracts	33,724	—	—	33,724
Contributions	11,966	—	—	11,966
Investment income appropriated for operations	12,989	—	—	12,989
Auxiliary enterprises	30,714	—	—	30,714
Other revenues	11,194	—	—	11,194
Net assets released from restrictions (note 2)	5,324	—	—	5,324
Total net operating revenues	419,701	—	—	419,701
Operating expenses:				
Salaries, wages, and benefits	273,638	—	—	273,638
Contracted services	33,418	—	—	33,418
Supplies and materials	28,808	—	—	28,808
Depreciation and amortization	23,967	—	—	23,967
Interest expense	7,548	—	—	7,548
Utilities and communications	11,918	—	—	11,918
Other operating expenses	31,383	—	—	31,383
Total operating expenses	410,680	—	—	410,680
Changes in net assets from operating activities	9,021	—	—	9,021
Nonoperating changes in net assets:				
Investment return in excess of (less than) amounts appropriated for operations	(12,250)	2,118	(22)	(10,154)
Equity earnings (losses) in minority-owned affiliate	(20,678)	—	—	(20,678)
Change in fair value of interest rate swaps	(16,848)	—	—	(16,848)
Contributions for nonoperating purposes	3,579	3,532	6,318	13,429
Other changes in net assets	2,696	(581)	(209)	1,906
Net assets released from restrictions (note 2)	6,423	(11,096)	(651)	(5,324)
Net nonoperating changes in net assets	(37,078)	(6,027)	5,436	(37,669)
Increase (decrease) in net assets	(28,057)	(6,027)	5,436	(28,648)
Net assets, beginning of year	256,630	154,156	182,004	592,790
Net assets, end of year	\$ 228,573	148,129	187,440	564,142

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

**CREIGHTON UNIVERSITY**

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

Years ended June 30, 2013 and 2012

(All amounts in thousands)

	<u>2013</u>	<u>2012</u>
Cash flows from operating activities:		
Increase (decrease) in net assets	\$ 84,532	(28,648)
Adjustments to reconcile increase (decrease) in net assets to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Equity (gains) losses from minority-owned affiliates	(1,089)	20,678
Gain on transfer of CMA and CUMC	(1,490)	—
Noncash contribution income	(6,078)	(1,153)
Depreciation, amortization, and accretion	22,286	23,940
Actuarial loss on annuities payable	826	613
Contributions for nonoperating purposes	(23,457)	(12,275)
Change in fair value of interest rate swap agreements	(11,557)	16,847
Net realized and unrealized (gains) losses on investments	(39,103)	6,500
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:		
Accounts receivable	(1,606)	(1,796)
Prepaid expenses, inventories, and other assets	731	(653)
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	2,234	1,696
Deferred income	1,926	181
Other liabilities	4,055	531
Net cash provided by operating activities	<u>32,210</u>	<u>26,461</u>
Cash flows from investing activities:		
Repayments on student loans	5,062	4,715
Student loans issued	(3,960)	(3,538)
Proceeds from the sales of investments	200,605	201,727
Purchases of investments	(205,217)	(194,533)
Purchases of land, buildings, and equipment, net	(16,092)	(20,457)
Net cash used in investing activities	<u>(19,602)</u>	<u>(12,086)</u>
Cash flows from financing activities:		
Cash contributions for nonoperating purposes	23,793	13,156
Payments on long-term debt	(8,938)	(11,040)
Increase in federal student loan funds	48	77
Net payments on annuity agreements	(1,022)	(900)
Net cash provided by financing activities	<u>13,881</u>	<u>1,293</u>
Net increase in cash and cash equivalents	26,489	15,668
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of year	<u>32,112</u>	<u>16,444</u>
Cash and cash equivalents, end of year	<u>\$ 58,601</u>	<u>32,112</u>
Supplemental cash flow data:		
Cash paid for interest	\$ 7,705	7,823
Capital assets acquired through accounts payable	352	2,329
Noncash contributions	6,078	1,153

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

# CREIGHTON UNIVERSITY

## Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

June 30, 2013 and 2012

(All amounts in thousands)

### (1) Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

#### (a) *Nature of Operations*

Creighton University (Creighton or the University) is a private, Catholic, Jesuit, comprehensive university located in Omaha, Nebraska. Creighton offers degree programs through three colleges, five professional schools, a graduate school, and summer sessions. Creighton's students come from nearly every state in the country, as well as from numerous foreign countries, with the majority of students coming from the Midwestern region of the United States.

Sources of operating revenues to Creighton predominantly consist of student tuition and fees and charges for healthcare services, and also include grants and contracts, contributions, investment earnings, auxiliary services, and others. No single source represents a majority of total operating revenues.

#### (b) *Basis of Presentation*

The consolidated financial statements have been prepared on the accrual basis of accounting and include the accounts of Creighton University, Creighton Healthcare, Inc. (CHC), a wholly owned, nonprofit subsidiary, and Creighton Soccer Stadium, Inc. (CSSI), a wholly owned, for-profit subsidiary. Creighton University, CHC, and CSSI are together referred to as the University. All material transactions between the parent, CHC, and CSSI have been eliminated.

Resources are reported in three separate categories of net assets based on the existence or absence of donor-imposed restrictions. In the accompanying consolidated financial statements, net assets that have similar characteristics have been combined into categories as follows:

- Unrestricted – Net assets that are not subject to donor-imposed stipulations. Unrestricted net assets may be designated for specific purposes by action of the Board of Trustees or may otherwise be limited by contractual agreements with outside parties.
- Temporarily restricted – Net assets whose use by the University is subject to donor-imposed stipulations that will be fulfilled by actions of the University pursuant to those stipulations or that expire by the passage of time.
- Permanently restricted – Net assets subject to donor-imposed stipulations that they be maintained permanently by the University. Generally, the donors of these assets permit the University to use all or part of the income earned on these assets for purposes consistent with the donor's intent. Such assets primarily include the University's permanent endowment funds and irrevocable trusts held by others.

Expenses are generally reported as decreases in unrestricted net assets. Expirations of donor-imposed stipulations that simultaneously increase one category of net assets and decrease another are reported as releases between the applicable categories.

Temporarily restricted net assets for which donor-imposed restrictions are met in subsequent reporting periods are reclassified to unrestricted net assets and reported as net assets released from

# CREIGHTON UNIVERSITY

## Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

June 30, 2013 and 2012

(All amounts in thousands)

restrictions. Net assets released from restrictions also include unrestricted, temporarily restricted, or permanently restricted net assets for which donors have added, changed, or removed restrictions on contributions. Net assets that have no donor-imposed restrictions, as well as contributions for which donors have stipulated restrictions that are met within the same reporting period, are reported as unrestricted support.

Conditional promises to give are not recorded until the condition is either substantially met or it is deemed remote that the condition will not be met. Unconditional promises to give are recorded as receivables and revenues at fair value in the period the promise is made. Unconditional promises to give with payments due in future periods are reported as restricted support. Amounts due more than one year from the statement of financial position date are recorded at the net realizable discounted cash flow, while amounts due in one year or less are recorded at undiscounted net realizable value.

Gifts of land, building, equipment, or other assets are reported as unrestricted support unless explicit donor stipulations specify how the donated assets must be used. Gifts of long-lived assets with explicit restrictions that specify how the assets are to be used are reported as restricted support. Absent explicit donor stipulation, the University reports expirations of donor restrictions on long-lived assets as the assets are depreciated over their useful lives. Gifts of land, building, equipment, or other assets are recorded at estimated fair value.

**(c) Use of Estimates**

The preparation of the financial statements in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make certain estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues, expenses, and other changes in net assets during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

**(d) Measure of Operations**

The increase or decrease in unrestricted net assets from operating activities reflected on the accompanying consolidated financial statements includes primarily activities closely related to the educational, healthcare, research, auxiliary, and administrative functions of the University. Amounts not included in the measure of operations consist of contributions for nonoperating or long-term purposes, equity earnings in minority-owned affiliates, investment income on endowments in excess of the established spending policy, including realized and unrealized gains and losses on investments, if any, certain amounts released from restrictions, the change in the fair value of interest rate swaps, and significant items of an unusual or nonrecurring nature.

**(e) Tuition and Fees**

Gross tuition and fees represent charges for educational programs and services based on the University's standard rates. Reductions in gross charges funded by University operating sources are reported as tuition discounts, while reductions funded by endowment and other sources are classified as scholarship allowances. The resulting net tuition and fees generally represent the amount of tuition and fee charges that require payment by the student in cash, student loans, or other personal sources.

# CREIGHTON UNIVERSITY

## Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

June 30, 2013 and 2012

(All amounts in thousands)

Advance payments for tuition, room, and board related to the next semester have been deferred and will be reported as unrestricted revenue in the year in which the services are rendered.

*(f) Healthcare Services*

Healthcare services revenues represent net patient charges for services provided through the University's health sciences clinical operations (including the schools of Medicine, Dentistry and Pharmacy and Health Professions) and revenues from affiliated regional medical organizations for services provided by the University's clinical faculty, healthcare staff, and students. Payments for clinical charges are generally received through medical insurance arrangements (including Medicare and Medicaid) and payments from patients. Clinical operations revenues are shown at estimated realizable value, net of deductions for contractual and other allowances. Payments received for services provided to the affiliated regional medical organizations are based upon the corresponding operating agreements. The provision for uncollectible accounts is based upon management's assessment of expected net collections considering the accounts receivable aging, historical collections experience, economic conditions, trends in healthcare coverage, and other collection indicators. Management periodically assesses the adequacy of the allowances for uncollectible accounts and contractual adjustments based upon historical write-off experience by payor category. The results of these reviews are used to establish the net realizable value of patient accounts receivable. Provision for bad debts is a reduction of patient service revenue.

In July 2012, the University entered into strategic affiliation and practice group integration agreements with Alegant Health (Alegant). Pursuant to these agreements, the University transferred essentially all of the assets and liabilities of the University's clinical healthcare practice, Creighton Medical Associates (CMA) to Alegant. Beginning September 1, 2012 substantially all of CMA's patient service revenues, deductions and related expenses became Alegant operations. The following illustrates the University's clinical patient service charges at its established rates and related contractual allowances for the years ended June 30, 2013 and 2012:

	<u>2013</u>	<u>2012</u>
Clinical patient service charges	\$ 27,862	143,001
Contractual allowances	<u>(12,619)</u>	<u>(71,771)</u>
Clinical patient service revenue (net of contractual allowances and discounts)	<u>\$ 15,243</u>	<u>71,230</u>

# CREIGHTON UNIVERSITY

## Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

June 30, 2013 and 2012

(All amounts in thousands)

Revenue from the Medicare program accounted for approximately 17% and 22% of the University's net clinical patient service revenues for the years ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. Clinical patient service revenue, (net of contractual allowances and discounts, but before the provision for bad debts), for the major payor sources during the years ended June 30, 2013 and 2012 is as follows:

	<u>2013</u>	<u>2012</u>
Commercial insurance and other third-parties	\$ 6,159	32,613
Medicare	2,567	15,670
Private (self-pay)	3,449	14,086
Medicaid	3,068	8,861
	<u>\$ 15,243</u>	<u>71,230</u>

It is inherent in Creighton's mission to provide necessary medical care to an indigent patient population, which results in medical care being provided free of charge or at significantly reduced rates to individuals having no insurance or other means of paying for such care. As the amounts determined to qualify as charity care are not pursued for collection, they are not included in net healthcare services revenue as presented in the consolidated statements of activities.

**(g) Grants and Contracts**

Grants and contracts consist primarily of contractual agreements with governmental and private entities for the conduct of research and other sponsored programs. These agreements represent exchange transactions between the University and the grantors and are accordingly included in unrestricted net assets. Revenues are recognized on grants and contracts as expenses are incurred and amounts received in advance are reflected as deferred income.

**(h) Auxiliary Enterprises**

Auxiliary enterprises include student housing and dining services, intercollegiate athletics, campus recreation, the student center, and a child care center.

**(i) Annuities Payable**

Annuities payable, included in other liabilities in the consolidated statements of financial position, represent the University's liability under annuity and life income contracts with donors. The liability is established at the time of the contribution using actuarial tables and an assumed interest rate. The interest rates used for the establishment of the liability were 8.7%–9.2% for 2013 and 1.6%–2.0% for 2012. The University's liability amounts were \$9,115 and \$9,537 at June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively which is recorded in other liabilities in the accompanying consolidated statements of financial position. Annuity obligations are adjusted annually for actuarial changes in life expectancy. The increases or decreases to the liability are reflected as temporarily or permanently restricted, consistent with the method used to initially record the contributions. It is at least reasonably possible

## CREIGHTON UNIVERSITY

### Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

June 30, 2013 and 2012

(All amounts in thousands)

that the estimate of annuities payable will be revised in the near term due to mortality of the annuitants.

**(j) Cash and Cash Equivalents**

Cash and cash equivalents represent unrestricted cash on hand and highly liquid investments with original maturities of less than three months from the date of purchase. Cash and cash equivalents representing assets of endowment and similar funds are included in long-term investments. The carrying amounts approximate fair values because of the short maturity of those investments. Financial instruments that potentially subject the University to a concentration of credit risk consist of cash and cash equivalents. Cash and cash equivalents are deposited in demand and money market accounts and deposits held with banks may exceed the amount of insurance provided on such deposits. The University has not experienced any losses on its deposits of cash and cash equivalents.

During 2013, the University received \$13,900 from the U.S. government related to refund claims made on behalf of medical residents and healthcare organizations where the medical residents performed services. These refunds related to employee withholdings made and paid to the U.S. government from January 1995 through March 2005. These funds are considered restricted and will be paid to the medical residents and the appropriate healthcare organizations upon receipt of all funds due from the U.S. government.

**(k) Investments**

Investments are stated at fair value, except for investments in minority-owned subsidiaries. Investments are exposed to various risks such as interest rate, market, and credit risks. Due to the level of risk associated with certain investments, it is at least reasonably possible that changes in values will occur in the near term and that such changes could materially affect the University's financial statements.

In accordance with the accounting policy of stating investments at fair value, the change in unrealized appreciation or depreciation of those securities is reflected in the accompanying consolidated financial statements. The cost of investments sold is determined by use of the specific-identification method for all investments except those in the endowment. The cost of the endowment investments combined with another related-party entity (notes 4 and 5) is determined using individual unit values.

Funds held in trust by others represent amounts held by third-party trustees for the beneficial interest of the University under perpetual trust agreements created by donors. The University's interests in the trusts are recorded at estimated fair value, with increases or decreases in fair value being reported as investment gains or losses during the year. Trust distributions are reflected in the University's investment income.

The University owns a minority interest in an affiliated entity, Children's Physicians. The University's 33% ownership of this entity is recorded using the equity method (note 12).

## CREIGHTON UNIVERSITY

### Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

June 30, 2013 and 2012

(All amounts in thousands)

**(l) Student Loans Receivable**

Student loans receivable consist primarily of loans made to students under U.S. government loan programs. The loans are stated at net realizable value in the accompanying consolidated financial statements. These receivables are not saleable and contain federally mandated interest rates and repayment terms subject to significant restrictions as to their transfer and disposition. The receivables are reported at carrying value, which approximates fair value. Management utilized Level 2 inputs in the fair value hierarchy in determining fair value of student loans receivable. The University reviews receivables on an ongoing basis to assess collectibility and records an allowance for potential uncollectible balances based on historical experience and aging of the account balances. Provision for bad debt and write-off activity related to the allowance for potential uncollectible balances for student loans is not material to the financial statements. At June 30, 2013 and 2012, the amount of loans past due under the student loan programs were \$2.8 million and \$2.6 million respectively.

**(m) Refundable Government Student Loans**

Refundable government student loan funds consist of amounts advanced by the federal government on the condition that the University administer various campus-based student loan programs subject to federal regulations. Under certain conditions, the funds must be returned to the federal government. The amounts are not saleable, and can only be assigned to the U.S. government or its designees. Accordingly, they are reported as liabilities at carrying value, which approximates fair value in the consolidated statements of financial position.

**(n) Land, Buildings, and Equipment**

Land, buildings, and equipment are primarily stated at cost of acquisition less accumulated depreciation. Generally, renovations equal to or greater than \$25 are capitalized. The library collection is stated at cost or, if acquired by donation, at the appraised value at the date of acquisition. Land, buildings, and equipment are removed from the records at the time of disposal. Depreciation is computed on a straight-line basis over the estimated useful life of buildings (10–40 years), library books and periodicals (25 years), and equipment (3–15 years). Long-lived assets, such as property and equipment, are reviewed for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of an asset may not be recoverable. Gifts of land, building, equipment, or other assets are recorded at estimated fair value when received.

**(o) Income Taxes**

The University and CHC have been recognized by the Internal Revenue Service as tax-exempt organizations under Section 501(c)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code. U.S. generally accepted accounting principles require management to evaluate tax positions taken and recognize a tax liability (or asset) if the organization has taken an uncertain position that more likely than not would not be sustained upon examination by the Internal Revenue Service. The University is subject to routine audits by taxing jurisdictions; however, there are currently no audits in progress for any tax periods. CSSI, a taxable subsidiary, had no taxable income for 2013 or 2012. Accordingly, no federal

**CREIGHTON UNIVERSITY**

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

June 30, 2013 and 2012

(All amounts in thousands)

or state income taxes have been provided. As of June 30, 2013 and 2012, the University, CHC, and CSSI had no liability for unrecognized tax benefits.

**(p) Postretirement Benefits**

The University provides access to medical benefits to employees between the ages of 60 and 65 who retire with at least 10 years of service. These retirees are eligible to enroll themselves and dependents in the University group medical plan and are required to pay the full cost of their participation. Upon reaching age 65, the participants must transition to Medicare and related supplemental coverage. Refer to note 14 for additional information on postretirement benefits.

**(2) Net Assets**

Unrestricted net assets (deficit) consist of the following:

	<b>2013</b>	<b>2012</b>
Available for current operations	\$ 91,983	77,050
Designated by University for specific purposes	(67,000)	(53,613)
Endowment funds (note 5)	102,936	89,744
Invested in property, plant, and equipment	147,611	115,392
Total unrestricted net assets	\$ 275,530	228,573

Temporarily restricted net assets consist of the following:

	<b>2013</b>	<b>2012</b>
Contributions for buildings, amortized over the life of the corresponding facility	\$ 112,348	101,854
Contributions receivable	849	1,371
Annuity and life income funds	4,930	4,644
Unexpended income and contributions for restricted purposes	8,601	5,055
Endowment funds (note 5):		
Unappropriated income with specific purpose on permanently restricted endowments	48,118	35,011
Unappropriated income without specific purpose	282	194
Total temporarily restricted net assets	\$ 175,128	148,129

**CREIGHTON UNIVERSITY**

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

June 30, 2013 and 2012

(All amounts in thousands)

Permanently restricted net assets consist of the following:

	<b>2013</b>	<b>2012</b>
Endowment funds (note 5)	\$ 160,724	150,180
Endowment funds held in trust by others (note 5)	24,168	24,734
Contributions receivable	8,954	8,768
Student loan funds	426	416
Annuity and life income funds	3,744	3,342
Total permanently restricted net assets	\$ 198,016	187,440

Net assets released from restrictions included in operations consisted of the following:

	<b>2013</b>	<b>2012</b>
Departmental and other operational expenses, net	\$ 1,489	850
Additions to or renovations of plant facilities, net	3,705	3,830
Scholarships	—	644
Total net assets released from restrictions	\$ 5,194	5,324

Net assets released from restrictions included in nonoperating changes in unrestricted and temporarily restricted net assets consist primarily of endowment assets appropriated for spending as discussed in note 5.

**(3) Accounts Receivable, Net**

Accounts receivable consist of the following:

	<b>2013</b>	<b>2012</b>
Student accounts receivable, net	\$ 4,597	3,691
Patient accounts receivable, net	266	8,200
Grant funds receivable	2,854	3,982
Medical affiliate receivables	19,517	7,641
Miscellaneous receivables	3,680	5,794
Total accounts receivable, net	\$ 30,914	29,308

Accounts receivable are reduced by an allowance for doubtful accounts and contractual adjustments. In evaluating patient accounts receivable by financial class, management regularly reviews an analysis of its historical collectibility trends along with an aging of accounts receivable. For receivables associated with services provided to patients who have other third-party payor or commercial insurance coverage, collectibility is determined based on a combination of historical trends and contractual agreements. For receivables associated with self-pay patients, including patients without insurance and patients with

# CREIGHTON UNIVERSITY

## Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

June 30, 2013 and 2012

(All amounts in thousands)

deductibles and co-payments, management analyzes historical trends in each of its predetermined stages of collectibility and status of agreed-upon payment plans. The difference between the standard or negotiated discounted rates and the amounts actually collected after all reasonable collection efforts have been exhausted is charged off against the allowance for doubtful accounts.

Patient and student accounts receivable are recorded net of estimated reserves. Estimated reserves for uncollectible amounts and contractual allowances for patient accounts receivable were \$500 and \$13,530 at June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. Estimated reserves for uncollectible amounts on student accounts receivable were \$1,298 and \$1,033 at June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. It is at least reasonably possible that the reserve estimates will be revised in the near term.

### (4) Investments

University investments as of June 30, 2013 and 2012 comprise the following:

	<u>2013</u>	<u>2012</u>
Pooled investments:		
Short-term investments	\$ 6,983	6,898
Long-term investments	410,178	360,176
Investment in minority-owned subsidiaries and affiliates	2,416	9,906
Funds held in trust by others	<u>25,005</u>	<u>25,529</u>
Total University investments	<u>\$ 444,582</u>	<u>402,509</u>

Fair value is defined as the exchange price that would be received for an asset or paid to transfer a liability in the principal or most advantageous market for the asset or liability in an orderly transaction between market participants on the measurement date. Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) 820, *Fair Value Measurement*, establishes a fair value hierarchy that prioritizes the inputs to valuation techniques used to measure fair value. The fair value hierarchy gives the highest priority to quoted prices in active markets (Level 1) and the lowest priority to unobservable data (Level 3). In some cases, the inputs used to measure fair value might fall in different levels of the fair value hierarchy. The lowest-level input that is significant to a fair value measurement in its entirety determines the applicable level in the fair value hierarchy. Assessing the significance of a particular input to the fair value measurement in its entirety requires judgment, considering factors specific to the asset or liability. Fair value measurements are categorized as Level 3 when a significant amount of price or other inputs that are considered to be unobservable are used in their valuations.

The three levels of inputs that may be used to measure fair value are:

Level 1 – Observable inputs such as quoted prices in active markets that the University has the ability to access at the measurement date.

Level 2 – Inputs other than quoted prices in active markets such as quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities, quoted prices in markets that are not active, or other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated by observable market data for substantially the full term of the assets or liabilities.

**CREIGHTON UNIVERSITY**

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

June 30, 2013 and 2012

(All amounts in thousands)

Level 3 – Unobservable inputs where there is little or no market data and which require the University to develop its own assumptions about the inputs that market participants would use to price an instrument based on the best available information.

The table below summarizes the University’s classification of fair value measurements of the assets to which ASC 820 is applicable, and provides a reconciliation to the total investments on the consolidated statements of financial position as of June 30, 2013:

	<u>Total</u>	<u>Level 1</u>	<u>Level 2</u>	<u>Level 3</u>
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 13,137	13,137	—	—
Investments:				
Investment money markets	2,311	2,311	—	—
Domestic equities	85,296	85,296	—	—
Domestic funds	3,929	3,929	—	—
International equities	1,757	1,757	—	—
International funds	97,614	17,915	79,699	—
Real asset funds	24,163	24,163	—	—
Corporate bonds	10,939	10,939	—	—
Fixed-income funds	43,346	40,846	2,500	—
Alternative investments:				
Fixed-income funds	29,704	—	29,704	—
Private equity funds	33,766	—	—	33,766
Real assets	32,867	—	—	32,867
Commodity funds	9,514	—	9,514	—
Hedge funds	29,033	—	289	28,744
Notes and mortgages	1,321	—	—	1,321
Real estate	6,214	—	—	6,214
Commercial paper	5,148	—	5,148	—
Funds held in trust by others	25,005	—	—	25,005
Other	239	—	239	—
Subtotal	442,166	\$ 187,156	127,093	127,917
Investments in minority affiliates recorded using equity method	2,416			
Total University investments	\$ 444,582			

## CREIGHTON UNIVERSITY

### Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

June 30, 2013 and 2012

(All amounts in thousands)

The table below summarizes the University's classification of fair value measurements of the assets to which ASC 820 is applicable, and provides a reconciliation to the total investments on the consolidated statements of financial position as of June 30, 2012:

	<b>Total</b>	<b>Level 1</b>	<b>Level 2</b>	<b>Level 3</b>
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 6,150	6,150	—	—
Investments:				
Investment money markets	947	947	—	—
Domestic equities	69,481	69,481	—	—
Domestic funds	4,731	4,731	—	—
International equities	1,708	1,708	—	—
International funds	83,818	14,373	69,445	—
Real asset funds	19,647	19,647	—	—
Corporate bonds	5,560	5,560	—	—
Fixed-income funds	42,052	39,552	2,500	—
Government obligations	5,099	5,099	—	—
Alternative investments:				
Fixed-income funds	18,365	—	18,365	—
Private equity funds	25,914	—	—	25,914
Real assets	26,705	—	—	26,705
Commodity funds	22,943	—	22,943	—
Hedge funds	27,195	—	809	26,386
Notes and mortgages	1,447	—	—	1,447
Real estate	6,053	—	—	6,053
Commercial paper	5,246	—	5,246	—
Funds held in trust by others	25,530	—	—	25,530
Other	162	—	162	—
Subtotal	392,603	\$ 161,098	119,470	112,035
Investments in minority affiliates recorded using equity method	9,906			
Total University investments	\$ 402,509			

Fair value of the University's financial instruments is determined using the methods and assumptions as set forth below. While the University believes that its valuation methods are appropriate and consistent with those of other market participants, use of different methodologies or assumptions to determine the fair value of certain financial instruments could result in a different fair value at the reporting date. There were no changes in valuation methodology from 2012 to 2013.

*Investment money market funds and cash and cash equivalents* – Money market funds included with cash and cash equivalents are recorded at fair value using quoted market prices. These are classified as Level 1 as they are traded in an active market for which closing prices are readily available.

## CREIGHTON UNIVERSITY

### Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

June 30, 2013 and 2012

(All amounts in thousands)

*Equities and mutual funds* – Investments in publicly traded equity securities and mutual funds are measured at fair value using quoted market prices. These are classified as Level 1 if they are traded in an active market for which closing market prices are readily available. Equity investments in nonpublicly traded commingled funds are classified as Level 2. These investments are valued based on the funds' net asset value, as a practical expedient to fair value, or its equivalent, as supplied by the fund administrator or trust and these valuations are reviewed and tested by University management.

*Corporate bonds and government obligations* – Investments in fixed-income securities comprised of publicly traded mutual funds, government and agency obligations, and corporate bonds. Publicly traded fixed-income securities and funds are classified as Level 1 and valued based upon observable market prices on the reporting date. When quoted prices of identical investment securities in active markets are not available, the fair values for the investment securities are obtained primarily from pricing services; one evaluated price is received for each security. The fair values provided by the pricing services are estimated using matrix pricing or other pricing models, where the inputs are based on observable market inputs or recent trades of similar securities. Such investment securities are generally classified as Level 2

*Alternative investments* – Alternative investments include investments in private equity funds, hedge funds, commodities and fixed-income funds, and energy and real estate limited partnerships. These investments are valued based on the funds' net asset value, or its equivalent, as supplied by the fund administrator or trust and these valuations are reviewed and used by University management as a practical expedient to fair value. Classification as Level 2 or Level 3 is based on the University's ability to redeem its interest in the near term. If the interest can be redeemed in the near term, the investment is classified in Level 2. The classification of investments in the fair value hierarchy is not necessarily an indication of the risks, liquidity, or degree of difficulty in estimating the fair value of each investment's underlying assets and liabilities.

*Real estate, notes and mortgages* – Investments in real property represent various real properties donated to the University and initially valued at appraised value on the date of receipt. Valuations for real properties are classified as Level 3 and the properties are valued based upon market comparisons. The fair value of notes and mortgages is based upon the principal outstanding that approximates estimated net present value of estimated future cash flows.

*Commercial paper* – Investments in commercial paper are primarily commercial paper, certificates of deposit, and other short-term investments, which are reported at face value plus accrued interest at the reporting date. These investments are classified as Level 2.

*Funds held in trust by others* – Funds held in trust by others represent the University's beneficial interest in certain assets held by third parties. The valuation of these funds is based on fair value information received from external trustees and is calculated based upon information received from the trustee times the University's percentage of ownership. These interests are classified as Level 3 investments as the reported fair values are based on a combination of Level 2 inputs and significant unobservable inputs as determined by the trustees.

*Other* – Items included in this category include primarily accrued interest that is reported at fair value at the reporting date and futures contracts, which are recorded at fair value based on quoted market prices.

## CREIGHTON UNIVERSITY

### Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

June 30, 2013 and 2012

(All amounts in thousands)

*Investment in minority-owned affiliates* – The University holds minority interests in certain affiliates, which are recorded using the equity method and are carried at the value of the original investment, adjusted for entity earnings and losses.

The University's policy is to reflect transfers between levels at the beginning of the year in which a change in circumstances resulted in the transfer. There were no transfers between Levels 1, 2, and 3 investments for the years ended June 30, 2013 and 2012.

The following table summarizes the changes in fair value of Level 3 investments for the year ended June 30, 2013:

	<u>Alternative investments</u>	<u>Real estate, notes, and mortgages</u>	<u>Funds held in trust by others</u>	<u>Total Level 3</u>
Balance at June 30, 2012	\$ 79,005	7,500	25,530	112,035
Net income including realized gains	2,898	—	—	2,898
Unrealized gains, net	7,098	—	(525)	6,573
Expenses	(1,285)	—	—	(1,285)
Purchases	13,231	161	—	13,392
Sales	(5,570)	—	—	(5,570)
Mortgage payments	—	(126)	—	(126)
Balance at June 30, 2013	<u>\$ 95,377</u>	<u>7,535</u>	<u>25,005</u>	<u>127,917</u>
Total gains (losses) included in changes in net assets attributable to the change in unrealized gains on assets still held at the reporting date	\$ 7,098	—	(524)	6,574

**CREIGHTON UNIVERSITY**

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

June 30, 2013 and 2012

(All amounts in thousands)

The following table summarizes the changes in fair value of Level 3 investments for the year ended June 30, 2012:

	<b>Alternative investments</b>	<b>Real estate, notes, and mortgages</b>	<b>Funds held in trust by others</b>	<b>Total Level 3</b>
Balance at June 30, 2011	\$ 58,387	8,352	25,473	92,212
Net income including realized gains	4,209	—	—	4,209
Unrealized gains, net	1,055	—	57	1,112
Expenses	(935)	—	—	(935)
Purchases	22,737	—	—	22,737
Sales	(6,448)	—	—	(6,448)
Mortgage payments	—	(852)	—	(852)
Balance at June 30, 2012	<u>\$ 79,005</u>	<u>7,500</u>	<u>25,530</u>	<u>112,035</u>
Total gains included in changes in net assets attributable to the change in unrealized gains on assets still held at the reporting date	\$ 1,055	—	57	1,112

## CREIGHTON UNIVERSITY

### Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

June 30, 2013 and 2012

(All amounts in thousands)

The majority of the University's investments are held in a pooled endowment fund (note 5) with a related party, Health Future Foundation (HFF). Short-term investments consist of operational funds invested in the pooled endowment fund. The cost and fair value of the University pooled investments at June 30, 2013 and 2012 are as follows:

	<b>2013</b>		<b>2012</b>	
	<b>Cost</b>	<b>Fair value</b>	<b>Cost</b>	<b>Fair value</b>
Short-term pooled investments	\$ 6,990	6,983	7,248	6,898
Investments:				
Stocks	\$ 7,525	7,869	7,664	8,184
Corporate bonds	8,218	7,937	7,474	7,722
Government obligations	—	—	1	1
University share of pooled endowment fund (note 5)	345,174	381,449	321,209	331,361
Notes and mortgages	1,321	1,321	1,447	1,447
Real estate	6,411	6,214	6,250	6,053
Commercial paper	5,148	5,148	5,246	5,246
Other	239	239	162	162
Long-term pooled investments	\$ <u>374,036</u>	<u>410,177</u>	<u>349,453</u>	<u>360,176</u>

The following table summarizes investment return for 2013 and classification in the consolidated financial statements:

	<b>Unrestricted</b>	<b>Temporarily restricted</b>	<b>Permanently restricted</b>	<b>Total</b>
Dividends and interest	\$ 5,329	4,262	182	9,773
Other investment income	209	—	—	209
Net realized and unrealized gains	<u>20,842</u>	<u>17,803</u>	<u>458</u>	<u>39,103</u>
Gain on investments	26,380	22,065	640	49,085
Less investment income appropriated for operations	<u>(14,652)</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>(14,652)</u>
Investment return in excess of amounts appropriated for operations	<u>\$ 11,728</u>	<u>22,065</u>	<u>640</u>	<u>34,433</u>

**CREIGHTON UNIVERSITY**

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

June 30, 2013 and 2012

(All amounts in thousands)

The following table summarizes investment return for 2012 and classification in the consolidated financial statements:

	<u>Unrestricted</u>	<u>Temporarily restricted</u>	<u>Permanently restricted</u>	<u>Total</u>
Dividends and interest	\$ 4,753	4,144	242	9,139
Other investment income	196	—	—	196
Net realized and unrealized losses	<u>(4,210)</u>	<u>(2,026)</u>	<u>(264)</u>	<u>(6,500)</u>
Gain (loss) on investments	739	2,118	(22)	2,835
Less investment income appropriated for operations	<u>(12,989)</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>(12,989)</u>
Investment return in excess of (less than) amounts appropriated for operations	<u>\$ (12,250)</u>	<u>2,118</u>	<u>(22)</u>	<u>(10,154)</u>

The estimated fair value of certain alternative investments, such as partnerships, hedge funds, and private equity funds, was provided by the respective companies. For these alternative investments, the University used the net asset value (or its equivalent) reported by the underlying fund to estimate the fair value of the investment as a practical expedient to fair value. Below is a summary of investments accounted for at net asset value for the fiscal years ended June 30, 2013 and 2012:

<u>Fiscal year ended June 30, 2013</u>	<u>Fair value</u>	<u>Unfunded commitment</u>	<u>Redemption frequency (if currently eligible)</u>	<u>Redemption notice period</u>	<u>Investment strategy</u>
Fixed-income funds	\$ 29,704	—	Daily	1 day	Core fixed income
Private equity funds (a)	33,765	24,546	Illiquid	—	Venture capital, distressed
International equity funds	79,699	—	1–60 days	5–60 days	International
Real assets (a)	32,867	11,971	Illiquid	—	Energy and real estate
Commodity funds	9,514	—	Monthly	—	Commodities markets
Hedge fund	<u>29,033</u>	<u>—</u>	Semiannual	95 days	Multiple strategies
Total	<u>\$ 214,582</u>	<u>36,517</u>			

(a) These funds are expected to liquidate within 2 to 10 years.

**CREIGHTON UNIVERSITY**

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

June 30, 2013 and 2012

(All amounts in thousands)

Fiscal year ended June 30, 2012	Fair value	Unfunded commitment	Redemption frequency (if currently eligible)	Redemption notice period	Investment strategy
Fixed-income funds	\$ 18,365	—	Daily	1 day	Core fixed income
Private equity funds (a)	25,914	24,744	Illiquid	—	Venture capital, distressed
International equity funds	69,445	—	1–60 days	5–60 days	International
Real assets (a)	26,705	18,469	Illiquid	—	Energy and real estate
Commodity funds	22,943	—	Monthly	—	Commodities markets
Hedge fund	27,195	—	Semiannual	95 days	Multiple strategies
Total	<u>\$ 190,567</u>	<u>43,213</u>			

(a) These funds are expected to liquidate within 2 to 10 years.

Due to the nature of the investments held by the funds, changes in market conditions and the economic environment may significantly impact the net asset value of the funds and, consequently, the fair value of the University's interests in the funds. Although a secondary market exists for these investments, it is not active and individual transactions are typically not observable. When transactions do occur in this limited secondary market, they may occur at discounts to the reported net asset value. It is, therefore, reasonably possible that if the University were to sell these investments in the secondary market, a buyer may require a discount to the reported net asset value, and the discount could be significant.

**(5) Endowment Fund**

The University's endowment consists primarily of funds invested in an externally managed pooled endowment fund. The composition of the total endowment fund as of June 30, 2013 and 2012 is as follows:

	<u>2013</u>	<u>2012</u>
University share of pooled endowment fund	\$ 381,449	331,361
Short-term pooled investments	6,983	6,898
Operational and other funds invested in the pooled fund	(77,264)	(65,721)
Endowment funds held in trust by others	24,168	24,734
Nonpooled assets, including cash and cash equivalents, notes receivable, real estate, and other	892	2,592
Total endowment	<u>\$ 336,228</u>	<u>299,864</u>

# CREIGHTON UNIVERSITY

## Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

June 30, 2013 and 2012

(All amounts in thousands)

Certain assets of the endowment fund are pooled on a market value basis. Each individual pooled endowment fund subscribes to or disposes of units on the basis of the per-unit market value at the beginning of the calendar month within which the transaction takes place. The following table illustrates the relative percentage composition of the investments at June 30, 2013 and 2012 by security type, based upon the function that the investment serves in the portfolio:

	<u>2013</u>	<u>2012</u>
Equities – domestic	20%	19%
Equities – international	24	24
Fixed-income securities	23	22
Commodities	2	6
Hedge funds	7	7
Private capital funds	23	20
Cash and cash equivalents	1	2
	<u>100%</u>	<u>100%</u>

The total rate of return on the pooled endowment fund was 12.1% for the year ended June 30, 2013 and (0.2)% for the year ended June 30, 2012.

**(a) UPMIFA**

The University applies the provisions of the Nebraska Uniform Prudent Management of Institutional Funds Act (the Act) and the related provisions of ASC 958-205-05, *Reporting Endowment Funds*, in managing its endowment. ASC 958-205-05 provides guidance on the net asset classification of donor-restricted endowment funds for a not-for-profit organization that is subject to an enacted version of the Act and also requires certain disclosures about both donor-restricted and board-designated endowment funds.

The University's endowment consists of in excess of 900 individual funds established for a variety of purposes including both donor-restricted endowment funds and funds designated by the University's Board of Trustees to function as endowments. Net assets associated with the endowment, including the board-designated funds, are classified and reported based upon the presence or absence of donor-imposed restrictions.

**(b) Interpretation of Relevant Law**

The University's Board of Trustees has interpreted the Act as allowing the University to appropriate for expenditure or accumulate as much of an endowment fund as the University determines is prudent for the uses, benefits, purposes, and direction for which the endowment fund is established, subject to the intent of the donor as expressed in the gift instrument. As a result of this interpretation, the University classifies as permanently restricted net assets (a) the original value of the gifts donated to the permanent endowment, (b) the original value of subsequent gifts to the permanent endowment, and (c) accumulations to the permanent endowment made in accordance with the direction of the applicable donor gift instrument at the time the accumulation is added to the fund.

**CREIGHTON UNIVERSITY**

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

June 30, 2013 and 2012

(All amounts in thousands)

The remaining portion of the donor-restricted endowment fund that is not classified in permanently restricted net assets is classified as temporarily restricted net assets until those amounts are appropriated for expenditure by the University in a manner consistent with the standard of prudence prescribed by the Act.

**(c) Endowment Net Asset Composition by Fund Type**

The endowment composition by type of fund is illustrated in the following tables:

	<b>Unrestricted</b>	<b>Temporarily restricted</b>	<b>Permanently restricted</b>	<b>Total</b>
June 30, 2013:				
Donor-restricted endowments	\$ (1,901)	48,400	184,892	231,391
Board-designated endowments	104,837	—	—	104,837
Endowment totals	\$ 102,936	48,400	184,892	336,228

	<b>Unrestricted</b>	<b>Temporarily restricted</b>	<b>Permanently restricted</b>	<b>Total</b>
June 30, 2012:				
Donor-restricted endowments	\$ (5,009)	35,205	174,915	205,111
Board-designated endowments	94,753	—	—	94,753
Endowment totals	\$ 89,744	35,205	174,915	299,864

**(d) Endowment Net Asset Reconciliation**

	<b>Unrestricted</b>	<b>Temporarily restricted</b>	<b>Permanently restricted</b>	<b>Total</b>
Beginning balance, July 1, 2012	\$ 89,744	35,205	174,915	299,864
Investment income	3,636	4,134	72	7,842
Net investment appreciation	14,341	17,086	(135)	31,292
Contributions	505	—	9,997	10,502
Amounts appropriated for expenditure	(4,615)	(5,695)	—	(10,310)
Other changes	(675)	(2,330)	43	(2,962)
Ending balance, June 30, 2013	\$ 102,936	48,400	184,892	336,228

**CREIGHTON UNIVERSITY**

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

June 30, 2013 and 2012

(All amounts in thousands)

	<u>Unrestricted</u>	<u>Temporarily restricted</u>	<u>Permanently restricted</u>	<u>Total</u>
Beginning balance, July 1, 2011	\$ 93,313	39,625	167,503	300,441
Investment income	3,218	3,971	64	7,253
Net investment depreciation	(3,031)	(2,005)	(71)	(5,107)
Contributions	574	—	6,109	6,683
Amounts appropriated for expenditure	(4,246)	(4,835)	—	(9,081)
Other changes	(84)	(1,551)	1,310	(325)
Ending balance, June 30, 2012	<u>\$ 89,744</u>	<u>35,205</u>	<u>174,915</u>	<u>299,864</u>

*(e) Funds with Deficiencies*

From time to time, the fair value of assets associated with individual donor-restricted endowment funds may fall below the level that the donor or the Act requires the University to retain as a fund of perpetual duration. The University considers funds for which the fair value of the assets is less than the value of all contributions to the fund to be deficient and such funds are referred to as “under water” funds. Deficiencies of this nature reported in unrestricted net assets were \$(1,901) and \$(5,009) as of June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. These deficiencies resulted from unfavorable market fluctuations that occurred after the investment of new permanently restricted contributions and continued appropriation for certain programs as deemed prudent. Subsequent gains that restore the fair value of the assets of the endowment fund to the required level will be classified as an increase in unrestricted net assets.

In accordance with the Act, the University considers the following factors in making a determination to appropriate or accumulate donor-restricted endowment funds in cases where the endowment funds are under water: 1) the duration and preservation of the fund; 2) the purposes of the University and the donor-restricted endowment fund; 3) general economic conditions; 4) the possible effect of inflation and deflation; 5) the expected total return from income and appreciation of the investments; 6) other resources of the University; and 7) the investment policies of the University.

*(f) Return Objectives and Risk Parameters*

The University has adopted investment and spending policies that attempt to provide a predictable stream of funding to programs supported by the endowment while seeking to maintain the purchasing power of the endowment assets. Under this policy, as approved by the Board of Trustees, the endowment assets are invested in a manner that is intended to produce results that exceed the spending rate and long-term inflation rate while assuming a prudent level of investment risk. The University expects its endowment funds, over three to five years, to provide an average nominal rate of return, net of investment fees, of approximately 7.75% annually. Actual returns in any given year may vary from this amount.

## CREIGHTON UNIVERSITY

### Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

June 30, 2013 and 2012

(All amounts in thousands)

**(g) *Strategies Employed For Achieving Objectives***

To satisfy its long-term rate-of-return objectives, the University relies on a total return strategy in which investment returns are achieved through both capital appreciation (realized and unrealized) and current yield (interest and dividends). The University targets a diversified asset allocation that places emphasis on investments in equities, fixed income, hedge funds, commodities, and other strategies to achieve its long-term return objectives within prudent risk constraints.

**(h) *Endowment Spending Policy***

The Creighton University endowment spending formula represents a 50/50 weighting between market value and prior year's spending. It is designed to provide a measure of stability for budgeting purposes and help preserve the purchasing power of the endowment over the long term. There is also a spending cap of 5% and a floor of 2% to further ensure that significant fluctuations and "overspending" are avoided.

Specifically, the calculated distribution is the sum of:

- Prior year distribution multiplied by inflation multiplied by 50%
- Market value (one year prior to start of year being budgeted) multiplied by 4.25% multiplied by 50%

The amounts calculated for distribution are further reviewed for any funds that are under water. Based on the review and assessment of the specific factors described above, the distribution may be reduced or suspended for the applicable year.

Endowment distributions net of investment management fees of \$2,442 and \$2,176 totaled \$10,310 and \$9,081 in the fiscal years ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. In establishing the spending policy, the University considered the expected return on its endowment and, accordingly, expects the current spending policy to allow the endowment to maintain its purchasing power by growing at a rate equal to planned distributions over the long term. Additional real growth will be provided through new gifts and any excess investment return.

**CREIGHTON UNIVERSITY**

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

June 30, 2013 and 2012

(All amounts in thousands)

**(6) Land, Buildings, and Equipment, Net**

Land, buildings, and equipment consist of the following:

	<b>2013</b>	<b>2012</b>
Land	\$ 55,192	45,207
Land improvements	33,213	31,314
Buildings	431,709	422,954
Equipment	87,468	119,434
Library collection	73,459	70,096
Construction in progress	10,753	8,226
	691,794	697,231
Accumulated depreciation	(304,905)	(314,709)
	\$ 386,889	382,522

The University recognizes the fair value of a liability for legal obligations associated with asset retirements in the period in which the obligation was incurred. The values of the asset retirement obligations (ARO) are calculated using a range of discount rates from 4.18% to 5.07%, as determined by the year of the expected obligation settlement. The University recorded ARO liability accretion expenses of \$101 and \$102 for the fiscal years ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The present value of the ARO liability is \$8,405 as of June 30, 2013, and is based on an estimated inflation rate of 3%. This liability is included in other liabilities in the consolidated statements of financial position. The following schedule illustrates the 2013 and 2012 activity of the ARO liability:

	<b>2013</b>	<b>2012</b>
Beginning balance	\$ 8,371	8,390
Current year accretion of liability	101	102
Current year abatement costs	(67)	(121)
Ending balance	\$ 8,405	8,371

**CREIGHTON UNIVERSITY**

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

June 30, 2013 and 2012

(All amounts in thousands)

**(7) Contributions Receivable**

Unconditional promises to give are included in the financial statements as contributions receivable and revenue of the appropriate net asset category. Values expected to be received are discounted to net present value using the University's credit adjusted risk free interest rates, ranging from 4.32% to 4.41%. Unconditional promises to give are expected to be realized in the following periods:

	2013	2012
One year or less	\$ —	425
Between one and five years	12,000	300
More than five years	1,128	13,276
Less discount	(3,325)	(3,862)
	\$ 9,803	10,139

In addition, donors to the University have indicated intentions to contribute in future periods approximately \$23,468 and \$21,105 at June 30, 2013, and 2012, respectively. These amounts are not included in contributions receivable because they do not constitute unconditional promises to give. It is not practicable to estimate the net realizable value of these intentions to contribute or the period over which they will be collected.

**(8) Bonds and Notes Payable**

Bonds and notes payable at June 30, 2013 and 2012 consist of the following:

	2013	2012
\$20,000 issuance of bonds (Douglas County Educational Facilities Revenue Bonds, 2005A); annual principal payments through 2026; interest rates 3.00% to 5.00% (collateralized by unrestricted receipts, revenues, and income of the University)	\$ 14,983	15,850
\$17,360 issuance of bonds (Nebraska Educational Finance Authority 2005B); annual principal payments through 2013; interest rates variable, 0.08% to 0.40% for 2012; (collateralized by unrestricted receipts, revenues, and income of the University)	—	2,180
\$104,690 issuance of bonds (Nebraska Educational Finance Authority 2008); annual principal and interest payments 2009 through 2036; interest rates variable, based on daily remarketing rate; 0.04% to 0.30% for 2011; (collateralized by unrestricted receipts, revenues, and income of the University)	102,548	103,091

**CREIGHTON UNIVERSITY**

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

June 30, 2013 and 2012

(All amounts in thousands)

	2013	2012
\$7,076 issuance of loan notes (Douglas County Educational Facilities Loan Notes 2009); semiannual principal and interest payments 2009 through 2021; interest rates variable, 0.98% for 2013; (collateralized by certain buildings and equipment)	\$ 1,504	2,652
\$33,435 issuance of loan notes (Douglas County Educational Facilities Loan Notes 2010A); annual principal and interest payments 2011 through 2041; interest rates 3.00% to 5.88%; (collateralized by unrestricted receipts, revenues, and income of the University)	32,173	32,853
\$13,180 issuance of loan notes (Douglas County Educational Facilities Loan Notes 2010B); annual principal and interest payments 2011 through 2029; interest rates 2.84% to 7.34%; (collateralized by unrestricted receipts, revenues, and income of the University)	12,270	12,745
\$4,150 issuance of unsecured bank promissory notes; interest rate 3.58%	—	2,526
Other promissory notes	—	231
Installment purchases, collateralized by equipment	—	319
Total bonds and notes payable	163,478	172,447
Less current portion of bonds and notes payable	(3,670)	(6,980)
Noncurrent portion of bonds and notes payable	\$ 159,808	165,467

The fair value of the University's long-term debt approximates \$166,940 and \$168,328 at June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively, and is estimated based on Level 2 inputs of the fair value hierarchy. These estimates reflect the rate that the University would have to pay to a creditworthy third party to assume its obligation and do not reflect an additional liability to the University. The fair value of the notes payable is estimated based upon the University's long-term borrowing rate for similar debt.

The Series 2008 variable rate bonds are secured by an irrevocable letter-of-credit agreement with a commercial bank that was extended to July 30, 2014, before its stated expiration date of July 30, 2011. Under these agreements, the financial institutions would make liquidity advances to the University in the amount necessary to purchase the Variable Rate Demand Bonds in the event that the bonds are not remarketed. Any liquidity advance for the bond issue would be amortized in 12 equal quarterly installments, commencing 12 months after the first draw and due on the first business day of every quarter, or until the bonds are successfully remarketed, whichever comes first. The maturity date on the Series 2008 bonds may be accelerated upon the occurrence of specified events.

## CREIGHTON UNIVERSITY

### Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

June 30, 2013 and 2012

(All amounts in thousands)

On November 25, 2009, the University executed a Master Loan Agreement dated as of October 1, 2009, with the County of Douglas, Nebraska, for a \$7,100 Educational Facilities Loan Note Series 2009 (the Series 2009 Note). Concurrently, the University executed a Remarketing Agreement and Pledge and Security Agreement with Wells Fargo Securities, LLC, as Lender under the Series 2009 Note. Proceeds from the Series 2009 Note were used to finance medical equipment for the School of Medicine, telephone switching equipment, and various other capital equipment and improvements. Amounts outstanding under the Series 2009 Note initially bear interest at a variable rate, but the University has the option to convert to a fixed rate upon written notice to the Lender.

On December 15, 2010, the University issued \$33,400 tax-exempt Series 2010A bonds and \$13,200 taxable Series 2010B bonds through the County of Douglas, Nebraska. The proceeds for the Series 2010A bonds were used to: 1) fund construction and installation of an electronic health records system, telephone switching equipment, and various other capital improvement projects; 2) refinance \$20,000 outstanding of the 2007 County of Douglas, Nebraska, Development Revenue Short-Term Bond; and 3) refinance \$2,500 outstanding of Revenue Bonds, Series 1999B. Proceeds from the Series 2010B bonds were used to refinance \$11,800 outstanding of the Nonrevolving Credit Note dated June 11, 2010.

Proceeds from both the Series 2010A and Series 2010B bonds were used also to fund various debt service reserve accounts and to pay issuance costs. Amounts outstanding under both series were issued on a serial basis with various maturity dates and will bear interest at a fixed rate paid on a semiannual basis. The final maturity dates are July 1, 2040 and July 1, 2028, respectively, for the Series 2010A and Series 2010B bonds.

Repayments of bond and note principal in each of the five fiscal years subsequent to June 30, 2013 are scheduled as follows:

	<b>Bond and note principal</b>
Year ended June 30:	
2014	\$ 3,670
2015	3,171
2016	2,681
2017	2,776
2018	2,881
Thereafter	<u>148,299</u>
Total payments	<u>\$ 163,478</u>

Interest expense on long-term debt was \$7,704 in 2013 and \$7,503 in 2012. Bond discounts, premiums, and issuance costs are deferred and amortized over the life of the related indebtedness based on the effective-interest method.

## CREIGHTON UNIVERSITY

### Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

June 30, 2013 and 2012

(All amounts in thousands)

#### *Debt Covenant Ratios*

Covenants of the bonds restrict the University from incurring additional indebtedness if, after giving effect to the incurrence of the additional debt, the University's: 1) maximum annual amount payable for debt service on all funded debt after incurring the additional debt exceeds 15% of "total revenue" (as defined in the Loan Agreement), and 2) the ratio of "ready current assets" to "pro forma funded debt service" (as defined in the Loan Agreement) is less than 1.5 to 1. As of June 30, 2013, the University's maximum annual amount payable for funded debt service is 3.9% of total revenue and the ratio of "ready current assets" to "pro forma funded debt service" is 6.3 to 1. Additionally, the University is subject to certain covenants under the credit support arrangements related to the Series 2008 bonds. Specifically: 1) the ratio of the University's maximum annual debt service to income available for debt service cannot be less than 1 to 1; and 2) the ratio of the University's total cash and investments to total debt cannot be less than 0.50 to 1. As of June 30, 2013, these ratios were 2.4 to 1 and 2.0 to 1, respectively. The University was in compliance with all applicable debt covenants as of June 30, 2013 and 2012.

#### *Interest Rate Swap Agreements*

The University uses derivative financial instruments to offset interest rate risk or to otherwise modify the characteristics of its debt portfolio. The interest rate swap agreements were not entered into for trading or speculative purposes. All such financial instruments and derivatives are marked to market and recorded at estimated fair value. These financial instruments necessarily involve counterparty credit exposure. The counterparties for these swap transactions are major financial institutions that meet the University's criteria for financial stability and creditworthiness.

The swap agreements are considered derivative financial instruments and the estimated fair values have been reported in other liabilities in the consolidated statements of financial position at June 30, 2013 and 2012. The net changes in the estimated fair value of the agreements have been reported as a component of unrestricted nonoperating changes in net assets in the consolidated statements of activities for the years ended June 30, 2013 and 2012.

The swap agreements involve the exchange of floating and fixed-rate interest payments over the life of the agreements without an exchange of the underlying principal amount. The differential to be paid or received is recognized as an adjustment to interest expense related to the debt.

## CREIGHTON UNIVERSITY

### Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

June 30, 2013 and 2012

(All amounts in thousands)

The University had the following interest rate swaps outstanding at June 30, 2013:

Purchase date	Notional	Creighton pays	Creighton receives	Change in fair value
Aug. 2001	\$ 25,000	4.455%	68% of 1-month LIBOR	\$ 3,266
Mar. 2003	27,100	3.520	70% of 1-month LIBOR	2,699
Aug. 2004	17,575	3.600	68% of 1-month LIBOR	1,983
Feb. 2005	8,500	3.642	Greater of 67% of 1-month LIBOR or 63% of 1-month LIBOR plus 0.20%	885
Feb. 2005	8,500	3.642	Greater of 67% of 1-month LIBOR or 63% of 1-month LIBOR plus 0.20%	883
Apr. 2005	13,000	3.769	67% of 1-month LIBOR	1,790
Total	<u>\$ 99,675</u>	Total change in fair value for the year ended June 30, 2013		<u>\$ 11,506</u>

The total fair value of the swap agreements at June 30, 2013, an unrealized loss of \$22,921, is recorded as a liability in other liabilities on the consolidated statement of financial position. The swap agreements do not qualify for hedge accounting. Accordingly, the University recorded the net fair value adjustment of the agreements, unrealized gains of \$11,557 for the year ended June 30, 2013 and unrealized losses of \$16,848 for the year ended June 30, 2012, as a component of unrestricted nonoperating changes in net assets in the consolidated statements of activities. During 2013, the January 2004 swap agreement having a notional amount of \$2,180 expired per the swap agreement. The 2013 change in fair value of this swap arrangement prior to expiration was \$51.

The following schedule presents the notional principal amounts and estimated fair values of the University's interest rate swaps at June 30, 2013 and 2012:

Notional amounts		Expiration dates	Estimated fair value of swaps at June 30	
2013	2012		2013	2012
\$ 25,000	25,000	Aug. 1, 2030	\$ (8,012)	(11,279)
27,100	27,650	Mar. 1, 2033	(4,531)	(7,229)
17,575	17,575	Aug. 1, 2031	(3,929)	(5,912)
—	2,180	Dec. 15, 2012	—	(51)
8,500	8,500	Sept. 18, 2031	(1,615)	(2,500)
8,500	8,500	Sept. 18, 2031	(1,635)	(2,518)
13,000	13,000	Aug. 23, 2035	(3,199)	(4,989)
<u>\$ 99,675</u>	<u>102,405</u>		<u>\$ (22,921)</u>	<u>(34,478)</u>

The University's interest rate swaps are valued at fair value using Level 2 inputs of the fair value hierarchy by the swap managers using a discounted cash flow analysis.

#### (9) Short-Term Borrowings

The University has two current revolving lines of credit established for daily operating needs as of June 30, 2013. One operating line of credit has a maximum borrowing capacity of \$25,000. The interest rate

## CREIGHTON UNIVERSITY

### Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

June 30, 2013 and 2012

(All amounts in thousands)

payable on this line of credit is established at 1.25% over the one-month LIBOR, or 1.44% at June 30, 2013. The outstanding balances on this line of credit were \$0 at June 30, 2013 and June 30, 2012.

The second operating line of credit, which was established in fiscal 2010, has a maximum borrowing capacity of \$25,000. The interest rate payable on this line of credit is established at 2.00% over the one-month LIBOR. The interest rate was 2.19% at June 30, 2013. The outstanding balances on this line of credit were \$0 at June 30, 2013 and June 30, 2012.

Due to the short-term maturity of these items, the carrying amount approximates fair value.

#### (10) Functional Expenses

The University's classifications of unrestricted expenses in the consolidated statements of activities are combined by functional category as follows:

	Year ended June 30	
	2013	2012
Instructional	\$ 112,585	130,414
Healthcare services	85,815	93,538
Student aid	1,561	1,630
Sponsored research	24,149	25,381
Academic support	53,016	49,886
Libraries	10,805	10,239
Student services	18,663	17,728
Institutional support	37,243	34,935
Auxiliary enterprises	49,981	46,929
Total	\$ 393,818	410,680

Included under Institutional support in the above table are University fundraising expenses of \$3,392 and \$3,153 for the fiscal years ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

#### (11) Transactions with Related Parties

In July 2012, the University entered into a series of agreements designed to expand access to patients for its medical and other health sciences educational programs. In conjunction with the agreements and accompanying transactions, the University transferred its minority ownership interest in its primary teaching hospital, Saint Joseph Hospital at Creighton University Medical Center (CUMC) and its clinical healthcare practice, CMA to other parties.

The agreements, which became effective September 1, 2012, consisted of an asset purchase agreement between the University, Tenet Healthcare Corporation (Tenet) and Alegent; a member agreement between the University and Tenet; a strategic affiliation agreement between the University and Alegent; and a practice group integration agreement between the University and Alegent.

# CREIGHTON UNIVERSITY

## Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

June 30, 2013 and 2012

(All amounts in thousands)

Prior to the September 1, 2012 effective date of these agreements, the University was affiliated by agreement with Tenet, who owned 74% of Creighton Saint Joseph Regional HealthCare System (CSJRHC), a limited liability company that consisted of CUMC. Pursuant to the member and asset purchase agreements, the University's 26% ownership interest in CUMC was transferred to Tenet, and Alegent acquired certain assets and assumed certain liabilities of CUMC and the University received ownership of the CUMC real property.

The strategic affiliation agreement established Alegent's medical facilities, including CUMC, as the primary teaching sites for the University's school of medicine and requires payments by Alegent for costs associated with the medical residents and other guaranteed educational support. Under the practice group integration agreement, the University transferred substantially all of the assets and liabilities of CMA to Alegent.

The strategic affiliation agreement required contributions of \$50 million each from the University and Alegent into a shared strategic investment fund at Alegent to support the new affiliation between the parties. The University's \$50 million contribution was funded through a contribution from HFF. The contribution from HFF and subsequent University contribution to the shared strategic investment fund at Alegent were recorded on a net basis and, therefore, not reflected on the accompanying consolidated financial statements.

Significant transactions with CUMC for the years ended June 30, 2013 and 2012 are as noted below.

	Year ended June 30	
	2013	2012
Revenues:		
Ancillary departments	\$ 7,066	38,044
Expenses:		
Rent	\$ 348	2,088
Ancillary departments	160	526

The University receives funding from HFF, primarily in the form of grants for its healthcare and research activities. Healthcare and research grant amounts received from HFF during 2013 and 2012 were \$316 and \$1,884, respectively.

### (12) Investment in Minority-Owned Subsidiaries and Affiliates

#### *Creighton Saint Joseph Regional HealthCare System*

Until August 31, 2012, the University, through CHC, had a 26% ownership interest in CSJRHC, a limited liability company, which consisted of CUMC. This investment was accounted for using the equity method. The consolidated statements of activities for 2013 and 2012 include \$(44) and \$(21,629), respectively, in nonoperating equity losses, representing CHC's equity in CSJRHC earnings. As noted in note 11, Alegent acquired full ownership of CUMC operations in 2013.

## CREIGHTON UNIVERSITY

### Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

June 30, 2013 and 2012

(All amounts in thousands)

#### *Children's Physicians*

The University has a 33% ownership in Children's Physicians, a nonprofit corporation jointly operated with Children's Hospital and Medical Center, an Omaha nonprofit pediatric hospital.

Children's Physicians is an integrated pediatric health delivery system that is linked to comprehensive pediatric medical education and research programs. The system owns and operates certain primary care pediatric clinics in Omaha and the surrounding communities. Net operating results of Children's Physicians of \$1,133 in 2013 and \$951 in 2012 are included in nonoperating equity earnings in the consolidated statements of activities for 2013 and 2012. This investment is accounted for using the equity method.

#### **(13) Retirement Plan**

A defined-contribution retirement plan is available to employees who have met certain employment requirements. Contributions by employees and the University are used to purchase individual annuities or interests in mutual funds. University contributions during the years ended June 30, 2013 and 2012 were \$8,344 and \$8,784, respectively.

#### **(14) Self-Insured Benefit Plans**

The University has a self-insured health benefit plan covering active employees and their dependents. The plan also covers medical house staff whose cost of participation is paid by the affiliated organizations for whom they provide services, and retirees who pay the full cost of their participation in the plan. Total claims paid under the plan for the fiscal years 2013 and 2012 were \$19,081 and \$20,630, respectively. The University carries stop-loss insurance coverage that limits the University's claim liability to \$200 per individual. The University has also contracted with an insurance carrier to provide administrative services for the plan. The liability estimated by the University for claims incurred but not reported was \$2,012 and \$2,237 at June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The University is also partially self-insured for workers' compensation and medical malpractice insurance and accrues an estimated liability for claims incurred but not paid, based on studies performed by an outside actuarial firm. The liability amounts are included in accounts payable and accrued expenses.

#### **(15) Commitments and Contingencies**

The University is involved in various litigation arising in the normal course of operations. On the basis of information presently available and the advice of legal counsel, management is of the opinion that any liability, to the extent not provided for through reserves or otherwise, for pending litigation is not likely to be material in relation to the University's financial position or activities.

## CREIGHTON UNIVERSITY

### Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

June 30, 2013 and 2012

(All amounts in thousands)

The University leased space at various locations under long-term operating leases, primarily for its clinical operations. Pursuant to the strategic affiliation and practice group integration agreements with Alegen, the University's leases related to clinical operations were assigned to Alegen effective September 1, 2012. Total annual rental payments on all University operating leases were \$2,727 and \$5,487 for the years ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. Future minimum lease payments for which the University has made contractual commitments for the five years subsequent to June 30, 2013 are as follows:

Year ended June 30:		
2014	\$	377
2015		387
2016		281
2017		279
2018		287
Total	\$	<u>1,611</u>

The University has entered into a series of fixed price purchase order agreements with an energy company to purchase volumes of natural gas, ranging from 2,500 to 10,000 decatherms (Dth) per month at fixed prices ranging from \$4.03/Dth to \$6.74/Dth. The period covered by the purchase agreements is through June 2017. No amounts were paid on these agreements up front and there is no provision for a net settlement of the agreements. Payments on these contracts are made based upon the volume ordered at the contract price in the applicable periods. The cost of the natural gas purchased through these agreements is recorded as an expense in the period that the gas is delivered.

As of June 30, 2013, the University has contractual obligations of approximately \$17,336 for completion of certain construction projects in process at that date.

Research grants and contracts normally provide for the recovery of direct and indirect costs. Recovery of indirect costs on U.S. government grants is recorded at fixed or predetermined rates negotiated with the government. Indirect cost recovery rates have been established with the U.S. government through fiscal year 2017.

#### **(16) Subsequent Events**

The University has evaluated subsequent events through October 25, 2013, the date the consolidated financial statements were available to be issued, and noted no additional items to disclose.

**CREIGHTON UNIVERSITY**  
 Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards  
 Year ended June 30, 2013

Federal grantor/program or cluster title	CFDA No.	Federal expenditures	Pass-through funds	
			Awarding organization	Program number
Student Financial Aid Cluster:				
Department of Education:				
Federal Supplemental Educational Opportunity Grant	84.007	\$ 655,479		
Federal Work-Study Program	84.033	1,003,974		
Federal Pell Grant Program	84.063	3,030,802		
Department of Health and Human Services:				
Health Professions Student Loans, Including Primary Care Loans/Loans for Disadvantaged Students	93.342	31,519		
Nursing Student Loans	93.364	17,986		
Total Student Financial Aid Cluster		4,739,760		
Research and Development Cluster:				
Department of Health and Human Services:				
Public Health and Emergency Preparedness	93.069	26,727	University of Nebraska Medical Center	3U90-TP716975
Food & Drug Administration Research	93.103	88,331	Columbia University	1R01 FD003902-01
Environmental Health	93.113	130,125		
Oral Diseases and Disorders Research	93.121	217,663		
Oral Diseases and Disorders Research	93.121	12,551	Harvard School of Dental Medicine	1R01 DE021051-01
Oral Diseases and Disorders Research	93.121	51,327	Firefly Health Innovations NE, Inc	1R41 DE023003
Research Related to Deafness and Communication Disorders	93.173	838,793		
Research Related to Deafness and Communication Disorders	93.173	36,491	University of California, Davis	1R01 DC008649
Research on Healthcare Costs, Quality and Outcomes	93.226	233,597		
Grants for Dental Public Health Residency Training	93.236	72,775	Nebraska Department of Health and Human Services	T12HP14997
Mental Health Research Grants	93.242	108,732		
Mental Health Research Grants	93.242	19,760	Columbia University	5R01 MH081107
Mental Health Research Grants	93.242	1,824	University of Nebraska Medical Center	2R01 MH060252
Alcohol Research Programs	93.273	87,261		
Drug Abuse and Addiction Research Programs	93.279	61,748	University of Kansas Center for Research	5R01 DA018832
Drug Abuse and Addiction Research Programs	93.279	59,419	University of Kansas	1R01 DA032928-01
Drug Abuse and Addiction Research Programs	93.279	38,316	University of Kansas	2R01 DA018832-06A1
Minority Health and Health Disparities Research	93.307	82,988		
Trans NIH Research Support	93.310	58,973	Scripps	
Nursing Research	93.361	463,216		
National Center for Research Resources	93.389	147,469	University of Nebraska Medical Center	16,469
National Center for Research Resources	93.389	2,243	University of Nebraska Medical Center	18,788
National Center for Research Resources	93.389	139,592	University of Nebraska Medical Center	103427
Cancer Cause and Prevention Research	93.393	973,071		
Cancer Cause and Prevention Research	93.393	20,478	University of Massachusetts	5R01 CA136888
Cancer Cause and Prevention Research	93.393	2,230	Cornell University	R01 CA167824
Cancer Control	93.399	339,323	Missouri Valley Cancer Consortium	5U10 CA63849
ARRA – Trans-NIH Recovery Act Research Support	93.701	961,418		
ARRA – Recovery Act – Comparative Effectiveness Research	93.715	7,943	Boston University	1R21 HS019527
Cardiovascular Diseases Research	93.837	1,516,276		
Cardiovascular Diseases Research	93.837	2,234	Duke	4R01 HL098237
Cardiovascular Diseases Research	93.837	1,177	New England Research Institute	N01-HC-45207
Cardiovascular Diseases Research	93.837	7,346	Brigham and Women's Hospital	5R01 HL091069
Blood Diseases and Resources Research	93.839	527,959		
Blood Diseases and Resources Research	93.839	9	Duke University	U01 HL087229
Arthritis, Musculoskeletal and Skin Diseases Research	93.846	626,287		

**CREIGHTON UNIVERSITY**  
Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards  
Year ended June 30, 2013

Federal grantor/program or cluster title	CFDA No.	Federal expenditures	Pass-through funds	
			Awarding organization	Program number
Arthritis, Musculoskeletal and Skin Diseases Research	93.846	\$ 782	Columbia University	1R03 AR064016
Diabetes, Endocrinology and Metabolism Research	93.847	107,923		
Extramural Research Programs in the Neurosciences and Neurological Disorders	93.853	954,330		
Extramural Research Programs in the Neurosciences and Neurological Disorders	93.853	297,858	University of California, San Diego	
Allergy, Immunology and Transplantation Research	93.855	1,248,810		
Biomedical Research and Research Training	93.859	440,552		
Biomedical Research and Research Training	93.859	514,592	University of Nebraska Medical Center	8P20 GM103471
Biomedical Research and Research Training	93.859	59,442	University of Nebraska Medical Center	8P20 GM103489
Biomedical Research and Research Training	93.859	100,914	University of Nebraska Medical Center	5P20 GM103427
Child Health and Human Development Extramural Research	93.865	(2,989)		
Child Health and Human Development Extramural Research	93.865	22,143	Children's Hospital of Philadelphia	R01 HD058886
Aging Research	93.866	132,256		
Aging Research	93.866	50,796	University of Nebraska Medical Center	1R01 AG034995
Vision Research	93.867	26,729		
Vision Research	93.867	49,569	Texas Southern University	1R15 EX022215
Cooperative Agreements for State-Based Diabetes Control Programs and Evaluation of Surveillance Systems	93.988	20,617	Glaucoma Caucus Foundation	118-10/11
National Aeronautics and Space Administration: National Aeronautics and Space Administration	43.001	17,079	University of Nebraska, Omaha	NNX10AN62H
National Science Foundation: Mathematical and Physical Sciences	47.049	109,565		
Geosciences	47.050	4,960	University of Georgia	OCE1237140
Office of Experimental Program to Stimulate Competitive Research	47.081	310,092	University of Nebraska, Lincoln	EPS 1004094
Department of Energy: Office of Science Financial Assistance Program	81.049	234,654		
Renewable Energy Research and Development	81.087	211,688		
Department of Commerce: Educational Partnership Program	11.481	74,845	Florida A&M University	
Department of Defense: Military Medical Research and Development	12.420	526,080		
Department of Veteran Affairs: IPA Agreement – Research Scholar	27.011	150,997		
National Intelligence Agency: Basic, Applied and Advanced Research in Science and Engineering	12.630	11,895		
Total research and development cluster		<u>13,641,851</u>		
Trio Cluster: Department of Education: Student Support Services	84.042	282,784		
Talent Search	84.044	313,622		
Upward Bound	84.047	781,816		
Educational Opportunity Centers	84.066	223,669		
Total Trio Cluster		<u>1,601,891</u>		

**CREIGHTON UNIVERSITY**  
Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards  
Year ended June 30, 2013

Federal grantor/program or cluster title	CFDA No.	Federal expenditures	Pass-through funds	
			Awarding organization	Program number
Other federal assistance:				
Department of Health and Human Services:				
Epidemiology Cooperative Agreements	93.231	\$ 5,812	Great Plains Tribal Chairman's Health Board	U26 IHS300288103
Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services	93.243	97	Omaha Nations	5U79 SM058399
Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services	93.243	8,229	Nebraska Department of Health and Human Services	
Health Careers Opportunity Program	93.822	(150)		
Biomedical Research and Research Training	93.859	28,365	UNMC	
National Network of Libraries of Medicine	93.879	97,152	University of Utah	N01-LM-6-3504
Health Care and Other Facilities	93.887	5		
National Science Foundation:				
Office of Experimental Program to Stimulate Competitive Research	47.081	8,462	University of Nebraska, Lincoln	
Department of Agriculture:				
Child and Adult Care Food Program	10.588	15,059		
Department of Commerce:				
Economic Development Technical Assistance	11.303	120,419		
Department of Education:				
College Access Challenge Grant Program	84.378	(1,760)	State of Nebraska	
College Access Challenge Grant Program	84.378	2,635	Coordinating Commission for Postsecondary Education of Nebraska	
Department of Justice:				
Crime Victim Assistance/Discretionary Grants	16.582	51,935		
Center for Disease Control:				
Birth Defects and Developmental Disabilities-Prevention and Surveillance	93.073	5,833		
Corporation for National and Community Service:				
AmeriCorps	94.006	185	Saint Louis University	1484 DD000884
Defense Intelligence Agency:				
Centers for Academic Excellence	12.598	68,701	ServeNebraska-Nebraska Volunteer Service Commission	SN-13-GYDS-04
National Aeronautics and Space Administration:				
Science	43.001	4,304	University of Nebraska, Lincoln	HHM 402-12-1-0002
Total expenditures of federal awards		\$ 20,398,785	University of Nebraska, Omaha	NNX10AN62H

See accompanying notes to schedule of expenditures of federal awards and independent auditors' report.

**CREIGHTON UNIVERSITY**

Notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards

Year ended June 30, 2013

**(1) Summary of Significant Accounting Policies**

***Basis of Presentation***

The accompanying supplementary schedule of expenditures of federal awards (the Schedule) presents the activity of federal financial assistance received from federal agencies and pass-through entities by Creighton University (the University).

Expenditures for direct costs are recognized as incurred using the accrual method of accounting and the cost accounting principles contained in the U.S. Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular A-21, *Cost Principles for Educational Institutions*. Under those cost principles, certain expenditures are not allowable or are limited as to reimbursement. Moreover, expenditures include a portion of costs associated with general University activities, which are allocated to federal awards under negotiated formulas, referred to as “indirect costs.” Indirect costs and related revenue applicable to these cost recoveries are classified as unrestricted expenditures and revenues in the University’s consolidated financial statements.

Expenses are subject to audit by the U.S. government, and in the opinion of management, disallowed costs, if any, will not have a material effect on the financial position of the University or its federal programs.

**(2) Reconciliation of Federal Awards to Basic Financial Statements**

The following schedule is a reconciliation of total expenditures as shown on the Schedule to grants and contracts revenue presented in the consolidated statement of activities, which is included as part of the University’s basic financial statements.

Total expenditures per the supplementary schedule of expenditures of federal awards	\$ 20,398,785
Student assistance not considered revenues per consolidated financial statements	(3,080,307)
Grants and contracts revenues related to private and nonfederal government grants	<u>9,656,522</u>
Grants and contracts per the consolidated statement of activities	<u><u>\$ 26,975,000</u></u>

**(3) Loans Outstanding**

Outstanding balances of student loans held by the University at June 30, 2013 and student loans advanced during the year were as follows:

<u>Federal program</u>	<u>CFDA No.</u>	<u>Amount outstanding</u>	<u>Loans advanced</u>
Federal Perkins Loan Program	84.038	\$ 27,036,814	2,420,113
Health Professions Student Loans	93.342	7,056,009	1,020,800
Nursing Student Loans	93.364	<u>1,819,150</u>	<u>347,598</u>
		<u><u>\$ 35,911,973</u></u>	<u><u>3,788,511</u></u>

**CREIGHTON UNIVERSITY**

Notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards

Year ended June 30, 2013

The University is a direct lender under the above student loan programs. The University also participated in the U.S. Department of Education William P. Ford Federal Direct Loan Program (CFDA No. 84.268). During the year ended June 30, 2013, the University’s students received \$128,339,494 in new loans under this program.

**(4) Funds Passed through to Subrecipients**

Certain federal funds are provided to subrecipient organizations by the University. The following expenditures of federal awards were incurred by subrecipients and reimbursed by the University and included on the Schedule as part of direct awards for the year ended June 30, 2013:

<u>Cluster</u>	<u>CFDA No.</u>	<u>Subrecipient expenditures</u>
Research and Development Cluster	12.420	\$ 170,667
Research and Development Cluster	47.081	110,370
Research and Development Cluster	93.121	77,679
Research and Development Cluster	93.701	538,426
Research and Development Cluster	93.846	246,531
Research and Development Cluster	93.855	34,032
Research and Development Cluster	93.859	78,259
		<u>\$ 1,255,964</u>



**KPMG LLP**  
Suite 1501  
222 South 15th Street  
Omaha, NE 68102-1610

Suite 1600  
233 South 13th Street  
Lincoln, NE 68508-2041

**Independent Auditors' Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with *Government Auditing Standards***

The Board of Trustees  
Creighton University:

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the consolidated financial statements of Creighton University (the University), which comprise the consolidated statement of financial position as of June 30, 2013, and the related consolidated statements of activities and cash flows for the year then ended, and the related notes to the consolidated financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated October 25, 2013.

**Internal Control over Financial Reporting**

In planning and performing our audit of the consolidated financial statements, we considered the University's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the consolidated financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the University's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the University's internal control.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's consolidated financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit, we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.



### **Compliance and Other Matters**

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the University's consolidated financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, and noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

### **Purpose of this Report**

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the University's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the University's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

KPMG LLP

Omaha, Nebraska  
October 25, 2013



**KPMG LLP**  
Suite 1501  
222 South 15th Street  
Omaha, NE 68102-1610

Suite 1600  
233 South 13th Street  
Lincoln, NE 68508-2041

**Independent Auditors' Report on Compliance for Each Major Federal Program;  
Report on Internal Control over Compliance; and Report on Schedule of  
Expenditures of Federal Awards Required by OMB Circular A-133,  
*Audits on States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations***

The Board of Trustees  
Creighton University:

**Report on Compliance for Each Major Federal Program**

We have audited Creighton University's (the University) compliance with the types of compliance requirements described in the *OMB Circular A-133 Compliance Supplement* that could have a direct and material effect on each of the University's major federal programs for the year ended June 30, 2013. The University's major federal programs are identified in the summary of auditors' results section of the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs.

***Management's Responsibility***

Management is responsible for compliance with the requirements of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants applicable to its federal programs.

***Auditors' Responsibility***

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on compliance for each of the University's major federal programs based on our audit of the types of compliance requirements referred to above. We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and OMB Circular A-133, *Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations*. Those standards and OMB Circular A-133, require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the University's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion on compliance for each major federal program. However, our audit does not provide a legal determination of the University's compliance.

***Opinion on Each Major Federal Program***

In our opinion, the University complied, in all material respects, with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on each of its major federal programs for the year ended June 30, 2013.



### ***Other Matters***

The results of our auditing procedures disclosed an instance of noncompliance, which is required to be reported in accordance with OMB Circular A-133 and which are described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs as item 2013-01. Our opinion on each major federal program is not modified with respect to this matter.

The University's response to the noncompliance finding identified in our audit is described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs. The University's response was not subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of compliance, and accordingly, we express no opinion on the response.

### **Report on Internal Control over Compliance**

Management of the University is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above. In planning and performing our audit of compliance, we considered the University's internal control over compliance with the types of requirements that could have a direct and material effect on each major federal program to determine the auditing procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing an opinion on compliance for each major federal program and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with OMB Circular A-133, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the University's internal control over compliance.

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program on a timely basis. A material weakness in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A significant deficiency in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of OMB Circular A-133. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.



### **Report on Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards Required by OMB Circular A-133**

We have audited the consolidated financial statements of Creighton University as of and for the year ended June 30, 2013, and have issued our report thereon dated October 25, 2013, which contained an unmodified opinion on those financial statements. Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming an opinion on the financial statements taken as a whole. The accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal awards is presented for purposes of additional analysis as required by OMB Circular A-133, and is not a required part of the consolidated financial statements. Such information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the consolidated financial statements. The information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the consolidated financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the consolidated financial statements or to the consolidated financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the schedule of expenditure of federal awards is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the consolidated financial statements taken as a whole.

*KPMG LLP*

Omaha, Nebraska  
October 25, 2013

**CREIGHTON UNIVERSITY**

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs

Year ended June 30, 2013

**(1) Summary of Auditors' Results**

***Financial Statements***

Type of auditors' report issued:

**Unmodified opinion**

Internal control over financial reporting:

- Material weaknesses identified?  yes  no
- Significant deficiencies identified?  yes  none reported

Noncompliance material to the financial statements noted:  yes  no

***Federal Awards***

Internal control over major programs:

- Material weaknesses identified?  yes  no
- Significant deficiencies identified?  yes  none reported

Type of auditors' report issued on compliance for major programs:

**Unmodified opinions**

Any audit findings disclosed that are required to be reported in accordance with Section 510(a) of OMB Circular A-133:  yes  no

Identification of major programs

<u>CFDA Numbers</u>	<u>Name of Federal Program or Cluster</u>
Various	Research and Development Cluster
Various	Student Financial Aid Cluster

Dollar threshold used to distinguish between Type A and Type B programs: **\$469,771**

Auditee qualified as low-risk auditee?  yes  no

**(2) Findings Related to the Consolidated Financial Statements Reported in Accordance with Government Auditing Standards**

None

**(3) Findings and Questioned Costs Related to Federal Awards**

***Finding 2013-01***

**Federal Agency:** U.S. Department of Education

**Federal Program Title:** Student Financial Aid Cluster – Federal Direct Student Loans (CFDA #84.268)

**Compliance Requirement:** Allowability and Eligibility

**Grant Award Period:** 2012–2013

## CREIGHTON UNIVERSITY

### Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs

Year ended June 30, 2013

**Criteria:** In order to be considered an institution eligible to offer Title IV, HEA program funds to students, an institution must be established by name as an educational institution by a state through a charter, statute, constitutional provision, or other action issued by an appropriate state agency or state entity and be authorized to operate educational programs beyond secondary education. The institution must comply with any applicable state approval or licensure requirements. 34 CFR Section 600.9.

**Conditions Found:** The University's main campus is located in Omaha, Nebraska. The University opened an additional location in Phoenix, Arizona in 2012. The University failed to apply timely to the state of Arizona to be recognized as an educational institution authorized to offer postsecondary programs before offering Title IV, HEA program funds to students at the Phoenix campus.

**Questioned Costs:** \$1,851,692 of federal direct student loans awarded for the 2012-2013 school year.

**Context:** The Phoenix campus is Creighton's only campus outside of Nebraska and was new in 2012. Management identified the issue and self-reported to the Department of Education. The University applied for, and received, licensure from the Arizona State Board for Private Postsecondary Education effective August 22, 2013 to September 30, 2014. In a letter regarding the Phoenix location dated September 30, 2013, the Department of Education determined the University's corrective action to be acceptable and that the University may consider the finding closed.

**Cause and Effect:** The University did not have policies and procedures in place to ensure that when opening new campuses in states in which they did not have previous operations that the University initiate a process to obtain appropriate state authorizations required to ensure the University is eligible to distribute Title IV HEA program funds.

**Recommendations:** We recommend that the University implements policies and procedures to ensure appropriate state authorizations are obtained prior to distributing Title IV HEA program funds.

**View of Responsible Officials and Corrective Action Plan:** The University concurs with the Finding. As noted above, this issue has been satisfactorily resolved with the Arizona Department of Education. The University Office of the General Counsel will review all contracts related to additional campus locations to ensure that all appropriate state authorizations are obtained prior to the distribution of Title IV funds to students in those states.