Creighton University TaskStream Assessment Rubric

	Assessment Finding			TaskStream
Component	Beginning Program	Meets Expectations	Exceeds Expectations & Reflects Best Practices	Reference
Learning Outcomes	➤ Learning outcomes have been created. ➤ Learning outcomes are mapped to University Learning Outcomes and school/college/program (as appropriate). ➤ Learning outcomes are posted on the program website (or other public medium).	 ➤ Learning outcomes are measureable. ➤ Evidence of routinely sharing learning outcomes with students. ➤ Evidence of routinely sharing learning outcomes with faculty/ professional staff. 	 Evidence of faculty/ professional staff reviewing learning outcomes for possible revision. Evidence of learning outcome modification to reflect programmatic changes. 	➤ Learning Outcome/ I Objective
Assessment Measures	➤ At least one measure was developed for each learning outcome. ➤ Measures are related to the learning outcome.	 Measures are clearly identified (e.g., student completed a global case study assignment). Measures provide useful information about student learning. Multiple measures were developed to assess learning outcomes. 	 Emphasis is placed on specific direct measures. Evidence of rubrics or guides being used for the measures. Measures are created to assess the impact of prior actions on/to improve student learning. 	Assessment Plan
Data Collection and Analysis	➤ Data is collected and aggregated for at least one learning outcome.	 A majority of learning outcomes are assessed annually. Data collected and aggregated is linked to specific learning outcomes(s). Data is aggregated in a meaningful way that the average reader can understand. 	 Data aggregated and analyzed in a systematic manner. Data is collected and analyzed to improve student learning. 	> Assessment Findings

Last Revised: 8/26/15

Creighton University TaskStream Assessment Rubric

	Assessment Finding			TaskStream
Component	Beginning Program	Meets Expectations	Exceeds Expectations & Reflects Best Practices	Reference
Conclusions and Communications	 ➤ Evidence that annual assessment results are shared with faculty/professional staff. ➤ Evidence that faculty/professional staff input was sought to formulate conclusions. 	 ➤ Evidence that designated faculty/professional staff met face-to-face to discuss assessment results. ➤ Evidence that specific conclusions about student learning were drawn based on assessment results. ➤ A few sentences were provided highlighting (1) the program's most interesting or important assessment finding and (2) specific actions the program will take to improve student learning. 	➤ Evidence that Faculty/ Professional staff (a) synthesized the results from/ across various assessment measures to (b) form specific conclusions about student learning (e.g., individual performance indicators and/or collective objectives or program outcomes).	➤ Assessment Findings
Actions to Improve Learning & Assessment	 ➤ Evidence of at least one action to improve learning or improve assessment is identified. ➤ The proposed action(s) reflect(s) faculty/professional staff conclusions about areas for improvement 	 Description of the action to improve learning or assessment is specific and relates directly to faculty/professional staff conclusions about areas for improvement. Description of action includes a timetable for implementation and identifies who is responsible for the action. 	 Actions to improve student learning identified in the previous academic year are being assessed. Assessment methods and a timetable for assessing and evaluating the effectiveness of the action are included in the planned action. 	➤ Continuous Improvement Plan

Last Revised: 8/26/15 2