
In 2000, workers with incomes greater than $200,000 earned 33% of the nation's income, but paid 46% of income taxes. In 2012, well after the Bush tax cuts, the same high income group earned 41% of the nation's income, but paid a higher 55% of U.S. income taxes. In 2000, workers with incomes less than $40,000 earned 14% of the country's income but paid only 9% of U.S. income taxes. By 2012, this low income group earned 7% of U.S. income, but paid only 4% of U.S. income taxes. That is, high income workers are paying an increasing share of the nation's income tax burden. Despite placing a larger income tax burden on high income workers, income inequality continues to escalate. University of California at Berkeley economist Emmanuel Saez estimates that between 2009 and 2012, the top 1 percent captured 95 percent of total income growth. What accounts for this? Certainly not income tax rates! Federal Reserve (Fed) and federal government policies since the recession of 2008 have differentially aided high income, high wealth Americans. The Fed's bond buying programs (QE1, QE2 and QE3) pushed up asset prices including stock prices and other assets at an unprecedented rate. For example, between December 2008 and March 2015, the S&P 500 stocks increased by 131%. Additionally, the 2008-09 bailouts of AIG, GM, Bear Stearns, Goldman Sachs, Morgan Stanley, and the Obama Administration's $830 billion stimulus program mostly stimulated the incomes of the nation's wealthiest. Federal government and Fed market intervention appear to have widened the gap between the high and low income groups. Tackling income inequality with income tax rates has not and will not work. Ernie Goss.

Mainstreet Index Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1: The Mainstreet Economy</th>
<th>Mar 2014</th>
<th>Feb 2015</th>
<th>Mar 2015</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Area Economic Index</td>
<td>50.1</td>
<td>46.4</td>
<td>43.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loan volume</td>
<td>65.5</td>
<td>46.4</td>
<td>64.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Checking deposits</td>
<td>65.5</td>
<td>57.3</td>
<td>56.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Certificate of deposits</td>
<td>42.5</td>
<td>41.8</td>
<td>44.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Farm land prices</td>
<td>40.9</td>
<td>39.4</td>
<td>39.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Farm equipment area sales</td>
<td>29.3</td>
<td>19.5</td>
<td>15.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Home sales</td>
<td>51.8</td>
<td>50.9</td>
<td>55.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hiring in the area</td>
<td>60.0</td>
<td>56.5</td>
<td>52.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retail Business</td>
<td>49.2</td>
<td>43.7</td>
<td>40.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Economy 6 months from now</td>
<td>47.3</td>
<td>41.5</td>
<td>47.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Survey Results at a Glance:

- The Rural Mainstreet Index remained below growth neutral for March, falling to its lowest level in five years.
- Farmland prices sank for the 16th straight month.
- Agriculture-equipment sales declined to a record low level.
- Almost one-third of bankers say the Federal Reserve should not raise interest rates in 2015.
- Only 7.2 percent of ethanol plants have reduced production due to lower energy prices.
- The March loan-volume index soared to 64.9 from 46.4 in February. The checking-deposit index dipped to 56.4 from February's 57.3, while the index for certificates of deposit and other savings instruments advanced to 44.7 from February's 41.5. Almost one-third of banks, or 29.8 percent, say the Federal Reserve should not raise interest rates in 2015. On the other hand, 10.6 percent indicate that interest rates should be increased immediately, while the remaining share of bankers say the Fed should increase interest rates in the second, third or fourth quarter of 2014.
- Hiring: Despite weaker crop prices and pullbacks from businesses with close ties to agriculture and energy, Rural Mainstreet businesses continue to add workers to their payrolls.

The Creighton University Rural Mainstreet Index for March slumped from February's weak reading, according to the monthly survey of bank CEOs in rural areas of a 10-state region dependent on agriculture and/or energy. Overall: The Rural Mainstreet Index (RMI), which ranges between 0 and 100, sank to 43.6, its lowest level since February 2010, and was down from last month's 46.4.

“The stronger U.S. dollar is undermining the farm and energy sectors by weakening agricultural exports, crop prices, livestock prices and energy prices. Rural Mainstreet businesses dependent on export, agriculture or energy are experiencing pullbacks in economic activity.

Farming and ranching: The farmland and ranchland-price index for March was unchanged from February's weak 39.4. Even though crop prices have stabilized, demand for farmland remains weak pulling agricultural land prices down again. This is the 16th straight month the index has moved below growth neutral. Despite the weak-ness in farm income, nonfarm investors continue to purchase farmland with the share of outsiders buying farmland rising from 14.4 percent last June, to 17.5 percent this month.

The March farm-equipment sales index plunged to a record low of 15.2 and down from February's already fragile 19.5. The index has been below growth neutral for 20 straight months. With farm income expected to decline for a second straight year, farmers have become very cautious regarding the purchase of agricultural equip-ment.

Banking: The March loan-volume index soared to 64.9 from 46.4 in February. The checking-deposit index dipped to 56.4 from February's 57.3, while the index for certificates of deposit and other savings instruments advanced to 44.7 from February's 41.5. Almost one-third of bankers, or 29.8 percent, say the Federal Reserve should not raise interest rates in 2015. On the other hand, 10.6 percent indicate that interest rates should be increased immediately, while the remaining share of bankers say the Fed should increase interest rates in the second, third or fourth quarter of 2014.

Hiring: Despite weaker crop prices and pullbacks from businesses with close ties to agriculture and energy, Rural Mainstreet businesses continue to add workers to their payrolls.
The March hiring index declined to 52.2 from February's 56.5. We have yet to measure any significant decline in employment for the energy sector in the region for businesses linked to agriculture. I expect that to change in the months ahead as lower energy and agriculture prices work their way through the economy.

This month Creighton's survey group asked bank CEOs if ethanol plants had altered production due to lower energy prices. Of the bankers with ethanol production in their area, 85.7 percent indicated no change, while 7.1 percent reported increased production and 7.2 percent reported a reduction in ethanol production.

Confidence: The confidence index, which reflects expectations for the economy six months out, expanded to 47.8 from February's 41.5. The negative trend in farmland prices, agricultural equipment sales, and oil prices have negatively affected the outlook of bank Rural Mainstreet bank CEOs.

Home and retail sales: The March home-sales index climbed to 53.5 from February's 50.9. The March retail-sales index fell to 40.4 from 43.7 in February. Much like urban areas, retail sales are contracting rather than expanding.

Each month, community bank presidents and CEOs in nonurban, agriculturally and energy-dependent portions of a 10-state area are surveyed regarding current economic conditions in their communities and their projected economic outlooks six months down the road. Bankers from Colorado, Illinois, Iowa, Kansas, Minnesota, Missouri, Nebraska, North Dakota, South Dakota and Wyoming are included. The survey is supported by a grant from Security State Bank in Ansley, Neb.

This survey represents an early snapshot of the economy of rural, agriculturally and energy-dependent portions of the nation. The Rural Mainstreet Index (RMI) is a unique index covering 10 regional states, focusing on approximately 200 rural communities with an average population of 1,300. It gives the most current real-time analysis of the rural economy. Goss and Bill McQuillan, former chairman of the Independent Community Banks of America, created the monthly economic survey in 2005.

**WHAT TO WATCH**

- **GDP:** On April 29, the U.S. Bureau of Economic Analysis will release gross domestic product numbers for Q1. 2015. GDP growth below an annualized pace of 1% will be a sharp negative blow to the stock market and a very bullish reading for bond prices. Above 2% will be a "non event."
- **PMIs:** On May 1, Creighton and the National Institute for Supply Management will release national and regional PMIs for April. Readings below 50.0 will be very bearish economic signals. Readings above March's tepid indices will be bullish.
- **Wage data:** On Friday May 8, the U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS) will release hourly wage growth numbers. Another healthy monthly gain, above 0.2%, "will seal the deal" for a Federal Reserve rate hike this June.

**THE BULLISH NEWS**

- The consumer price index including food and energy was unchanged for the last 12 months while the core CPI, which excludes food and energy, rose by 1.7% over the year.
- The Case-Shiller home price index appreciated by 4.5% in January over the January 2014 reading.
- The U.S. trade deficit fell for February but for the wrong reasons—both imports and exports declined.

**THE BULLISH NEWS**

- Total nonfarm employment increased by a weak 126,000 in March and January and February gains were revised down by 70,000.
- The Creighton and National purchasing management indices (PMIs) fell significantly in March, but both remain above growth neutral.
- U.S. retail sales dropped by 0.6% for February and were up only 1.7% from the February 2014.