

# QJFA

Quarterly Journal of Finance and Accounting

*Volume 59*

*Issue 1 & 2*

*Winter & Spring 2021*

- How Well Does Duration Measure Interest Rate Risk and Does the Convexity Adjustment Matter?** 1  
*by Joel R. Barber*
- Nonparametric Tests for Event Studies under Cross-sectional Dependence** 29  
*by Matteo M. Pelagatti and Paolo Maranzano*
- Selling, General and Administrative Cost Stickiness and GDP Predictions** 75  
*by Russell Barber*
- Added Pressure to Perform: The Effect of S&P 500 Index Incusion on Earnings Management** 105  
*by Laurel Franzen, Joshua Spizman and Julie Suh*

# How Well Does Duration Measure Interest Rate Risk and Does the Convexity Adjustment Matter?

JOEL R. BARBER

*Florida International University*

## Abstract

This paper examines how well duration estimates the interest rate risk of government bonds and whether the standard convexity adjustment matters. Specifically, we duration match 14 convex barbells to zero coupon bonds and then compare the price performance using 32 years of weekly and daily yield curve changes. The mean and median difference in performance between the barbell and the zero coupon bond is nearly zero, the interquartile range is small and there is no bias in the difference. We conclude that the standard convexity measure does not capture the inherent nonlinearity in the bond price function.

*Keywords:* Duration, Convexity, Term Structure

*JEL Classifications:* G11

# Nonparametric Tests for Event Studies under Cross-sectional Dependence

MATTEO M. PELAGATTI

*University of Milano-Bicocca*

PAOLO MARANZANO

*University of Milano-Bicocca and University of Bergamo*

## Abstract

We propose two nonparametric tests for the null of no event-induced shifts in the location parameter of the distribution of cross-sectionally dependent stock returns and develop their distributional approximation theory. We compare size and power of our test statistics to 18 tests found in the relevant literature in a controlled simulation experiment where returns are bootstrapped from the constituents of the S&P100 and NASDAQ stock indexes. One of our tests turns out to be the most reliable in terms of size, while in terms of power, the other test we propose ranks in the top group of tests with an acceptable actual size. The test comparison presented in this paper is one of the most comprehensive and up-to-date available in the literature. Some tests in the comparison have been adapted to the cross-sectional dependence case by us. A real-world application of the tests to assess how U.S. banks' stock prices have reacted to early warnings of the 2008 financial crisis completes the article.

The authors wish to thank the Bicocca Data Science Lab for computational support. The work of professors Nikolas Topaloglou and Duc Khuong Nguyen as reviewer and Associate Editor is greatly acknowledged. Their comments and guidelines helped us to produce a significantly improved article.

# Selling, General and Administrative Cost Stickiness and GDP Predictions

RUSSELL BARBER

*University of Colorado-Denver*

## Abstract

This study examines whether selling, general and administrative (SG&A) cost stickiness is informative in predicting GDP growth and in explaining GDP forecast errors. Prior research has examined how accounting earnings growth is informative in predicting GDP. However, up to this point there has been a lack of research examining how accounting cost behavior is informative in predicting GDP growth. Managers make SG&A cost decisions based on projections of future demand, so the main hypothesis of this study is that cost stickiness has an informational role in predicting GDP growth and in explaining GDP forecast errors. The empirical findings of this study support the hypothesis by showing that cost stickiness is a predictor of future GDP growth and is also informative in explaining GDP forecast errors. This study has the potential to contribute to the improvement of GDP growth forecasts.

*Key Words:* Accounting, Cost Stickiness, Gross Domestic Product (GDP)

*JEL Classifications:* E00, E01, M41

*Acknowledgements:* I am grateful to Lloyd Blenman and John Wingender (the editors). I also thank Joakim Westerholm (associate editor), an anonymous referee, Dana Hollie, Jared Soileau, Wei-Ling Song and Meredith Rhodes. I appreciate the helpful comments from seminar participants at Louisiana State University, University of Colorado Denver, East Carolina University, University of San Diego, and the participants at the 2018 AAA Mid-Atlantic Region Meeting.

# Added Pressure to Perform: The Effect of S&P 500 Index Inclusion on Earnings Management

**LAUREL FRANZEN**

*Loyola Marymount University*

**JOSHUA SPIZMAN**

*Loyola Marymount University*

**JULIE SUH**

*University of Southern California*

## **Abstract**

We investigate earnings management around Standard and Poor's 500 Index (S&P 500 Index) additions for added firms and their peers. We use discretionary accruals as a proxy for earnings management and find upward earnings management for added and peer firms prior to the S&P 500 Index addition announcement. Following Index addition, we find divergence in the earnings management activities of added and peer firms. Added firms continue with upward earnings management with

We would like to thank the Editors, Lloyd Blenman and John Wingender, Jr., and two anonymous referees for helpful comments that improve the paper. We also acknowledge helpful comments and suggestions by Brad Barber, Yawen Jiao, Brent Lao, Micah Officer, Mark Vargus, workshop participants at Loyola Marymount University and conference participants at the 2014 California Corporate Finance Conference, 2015 AAA Western Region Meeting, 2015 American Accounting Association Annual Meeting and the 2015 Financial Management Association Annual Meeting. We thank Taylor Paschen and Michael Zaino for excellent research assistance.