

Creighton University Taskstream Assessment Rubric

Component	Assessment Finding			Taskstream Reference
	Beginning Program	Meets Expectations	Exceeds Expectations & Reflects Best Practices	
Learning Outcomes	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Learning outcomes have been created. ➤ Learning outcomes are mapped to University Learning Outcomes and school/college/program (as appropriate). ➤ Learning outcomes are posted on the program website (or other public medium). 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Learning outcomes are measurable. ➤ Evidence of routinely sharing learning outcomes with students. ➤ Evidence of routinely sharing learning outcomes with faculty/professional staff. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Evidence of faculty/professional staff reviewing learning outcomes for possible revision. ➤ Evidence of learning outcome modification to reflect programmatic changes. 	Learning Outcome/Objective
Assessment Measures	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ At least one measure was developed for each learning outcome. ➤ Measures are related to the learning outcome. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Measures are clearly identified (e.g., student completed a global case study assignment). ➤ Measures provide useful information about student learning (or student formation/engagement where applicable*). ➤ Multiple measures were developed to assess learning outcomes. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Emphasis is placed on specific direct measures, (when appropriate*). ➤ Evidence of rubrics or guides being used for the measures. ➤ Measures are created to assess the impact of prior actions on/to improve student learning (or student formation/engagement where applicable*). 	Assessment Plan *Use for Student Life/Co-curricular

Creighton University Taskstream Assessment Rubric

<p>Data Collection and Analysis</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Data is collected and aggregated for at least one learning outcome. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ A majority of learning outcomes are assessed annually. ➤ Data collected and aggregated is linked to specific learning outcomes(s). ➤ Data is aggregated in a meaningful way that the average reader can understand. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Data aggregated and analyzed in a systematic manner. ➤ Data is collected and analyzed to improve student learning (or student formation/engagement where applicable*). 	<p>Assessment Findings</p> <p>*Use for Student Life/Co-curricular</p>
<p>Conclusions and Communications</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Evidence that annual assessment results are shared with faculty/professional staff. ➤ Evidence that faculty/professional staff input was sought to formulate conclusions. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Evidence that designated faculty/professional staff met face-to-face to discuss assessment results. ➤ Evidence that specific conclusions about student learning (or student formation/engagement where applicable*) were drawn based on assessment results. ➤ Reflections/explanation of actions implemented and/or identified in the previous academic year to improve student learning (or student formation/engagement where applicable*). 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Evidence that the results from various assessment measures were evaluated and synthesized to form specific conclusions about student learning (or student formation/engagement where applicable*) and recommendations for future assessment. 	<p>Assessment Findings</p> <p>*Use for Student Life/Co-curricular</p>

Creighton University Taskstream Assessment Rubric

<p>Actions to Improve Learning & Assessment</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Evidence of at least one action to improve learning (or student formation/engagement where applicable*) or improve assessment is identified. ➤ The proposed action(s) reflect(s) faculty/professional staff conclusions about areas for improvement 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Description of the action to improve learning (or student formation/engagement where applicable*) or assessment is specific and relates directly to faculty/professional staff conclusions about areas for improvement. ➤ Description of action includes a basic timeframe for implementation and identifies who is responsible for the action 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Reflections/explanation of actions implemented and/or identified in the previous academic year to improve student learning. ➤ Detailed explanation of future teaching (facilitating*) and/or assessment plans are provided, including a specific timetable for action and evaluation (e.g. attached narratives, tables, calendars, etc.). 	<p>Continuous Improvement Plan</p> <p>*Use for Student Life/Co-curricular</p>
--	--	---	--	---